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Abstract:

We examine a Kaluza-Klein-type theory of classical electrodynamics and gravitation in a five-dimensional Riemannian geometry. Based solely on the condition that the electrodynamic Lorentz force law must describe geodesic motion in this five-dimensional geometry, it appears possible to place all of Maxwell’s electrodynamics on a solid geometrodynamic footing. We make no choice as between the fifth dimension being timelike or spacelike, but simply point out the impact in those places where this choice makes a difference. In the end, we deduce the Maxwell stress energy tensor from a four-dimensional variation applied to the five-dimensional geometry, and in the process, learn that this fifth dimension must be spacelike.
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1. Introduction

The possibility of employing a fifth spacetime dimension to unite classical gravitation and electrodynamics has intrigued physicists for almost a century. [1], [2] Early theorists became perhaps overly-occupied with making assumptions about the scale or topology of the extra coordinate dimension. [3] Following the path of Wesson and other current-day theorists [4], we seek here to expose the main features of Kaluza- Klein theory irrespective of any particular model, and most importantly, to make the connection between Einstein’s gravitation and Maxwell’s electrodynamics which some have looked to 5-dimensional theories to provide, as clear and solid as possible, and as independent as possible of the detailed choice of model.

Most fundamentally, we adopt the view of the above-noted theorists that matter and electrodynamic charge are “induced” in the observed four dimensions of spacetime, from a vacuum in five dimensions, and so, in keeping with the spirit of Wheeler’s program, [5] are of completely geometrodynamic origin. Particularly, we seek to show how classical electrodynamics emerges entirely from an Einstein-Hilbert Action of the general form

\[ S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int R dV \]

where \( R \) is a suitably-defined Ricci curvature scalar, integrated over a suitable multidimensional spacetime volume, and \( \kappa = 8\pi G/c^4 \) is the constant from Einstein’s equation

\[ -\kappa T^{\mu\nu} = R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\mu\nu} R . \]

The reader will observe that this omits any Lagrangian density \( L_{\text{Matter}} \) of matter, i.e., that it is not of the form \( S = \int \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} R + L_{\text{Matter}} \right) dV \) and so is in the nature of action equation for the vacuum.[6] In different terms, we seek to induce the entirely of Maxwell’s electrodynamics with sources, as well as the Maxwell stress-energy tensor, out of a gravitationally-based vacuum.

The main line of development will be deduced, based on a single proposition: we shall require that the Lorentz force of electrodynamics, \( m \frac{d^2 x^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} = q F^{\mu}_{\tau} \frac{dx^\tau}{d\tau} \), must be represented as fully geodesic motion in the five-dimensional geometry. It is not new for a Kaluza-Klein theory to represent the Lorentz force as geodesic motion in five dimensions. However, the five-dimensional theory and many of its usual features, become particularly transparent, and are easily arrived at by straightforward deduction, when Lorentz force geodesics are taken as the starting point for deduction, rather than as a deduction from some other starting point.
The foundation of this effort will be a five-dimensional Riemannian geometry, without any changes or enhancements, which merely extends the entire apparatus of gravitational theory into one more dimension. In five dimensions, we employ \( g_{MN} \equiv g_{NM} \) with uppercase Greek indexes \( M, N = 0,1,2,3,5 \) for the metric tensor, so \( g_{\mu\nu} \) with lowercase \( \mu, \nu = 0,1,2,3 \) is the ordinary metric tensor in the spacetime subspace. Inverses are defined in the usual manner according to \( g^{ME} g_{EN} = \delta^{M}_{N} \) and so \( g^{MN} \) and \( g_{EN} \) raise and lower indexes in the customary manner, but must be applied over all five dimensions to achieve proper five-covariance. The covariant derivative of the metric tensor \( g_{MN;\Sigma} = 0 \), as always.

While most authors who still study Kaluza-Klein theories treat the fifth dimension as spacelike and a few have considered this to be timelike, e.g., [7], [8], [9], we shall approach the fifth dimension as independently of this choice as possible. Where this choice does make a difference, we shall point this out. If we define \( M^{MN}M^{NK} \) \( + \kappa h_{MN} \) in the usual manner with \( \kappa = \sqrt{16\pi G/\hbar c^{5}} \), then for the weak-field limit \( g_{MN} \rightarrow \eta_{MN} \). If the fifth dimension is timelike, \( \text{diag}(\eta_{MN}) = (+1,-1,-1,-1,1) \); if it is spacelike, then \( \text{diag}(\eta_{MN}) = (+1,-1,-1,-1,-1) \). In either case, \( \eta_{MN} = 0 \) for \( M \neq N \). Note that the constant \( \kappa \) in Einstein’s equation

\[-\kappa T^{\mu}_{\nu} = R^{\mu}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} R \]

is related to the foregoing \( \kappa \), with fundamental constants restored, by \( \kappa = \frac{1}{2} \hbar c^{2} \kappa = 8\pi G/c^{4} \), with the overbar used to distinguish these two constants \( \kappa, \kappa \). The constant \( \kappa \) will appear frequently in the various equations herein.

At the very end, see equations (10.14) and (10.15) infra, in the course of establishing the Maxwell stress-energy tensor, we will deduce that this fifth dimension must be spacelike.

2. Geodesic Motion in Five Dimensions, and the Lorentz Force

We start by maintaining the usual interval in the 4-dimensional spacetime subspace, using \( d\tau^{2} = g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} \), and define the five-space interval as:

\[
d\Gamma^{2} = g_{MN}dx^{M}dx^{N} = g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} + g_{5\nu}dx^{5}dx^{\nu} + g_{\mu5}dx^{\mu}dx^{5} + g_{55}dx^{5}dx^{5} = d\tau^{2} + 2g_{5\nu}dx^{5}dx^{\nu} + g_{55}dx^{5}dx^{5} .
\]

\[(2.1)\]
The above is independent of whether the weak field $g_{55} \rightarrow \eta_{55} = \pm 1$, i.e., of whether the fifth dimension is timelike or spacelike, and is generally model-independent.

Like any metric equation, (2.1) can be algebraically-manipulated into:

$$I = g_{MN} \frac{dx^M}{d\tau} \frac{dx^N}{d\tau},$$

which is the first integral of the equation of motion. In five dimensions, we specify the Christoffel connections in the usual manner, that is, $\Gamma^M_{\Sigma \Xi} = \frac{1}{2} g^{MA} \left( g_{A \Sigma \Xi} + g_{A \Xi \Sigma} - g_{\Sigma \Xi A} \right)$, hence $\Gamma^M_{\Sigma \Xi} = \Gamma^M_{\Xi \Sigma}$. As noted, we employ $g_{MN,\Sigma} = 0$ as usual, with the usual first rank covariant derivative $A^M_{\Sigma} = A^M_{\Sigma} + \Gamma^M_{\Lambda \Sigma} A^\Lambda$. We then take the covariant derivative of each side of (2.2) above, and after the usual reductions employed in four dimensions, and multiplying the result through by $d\Gamma^2 / d\tau^2$, we arrive at a five-dimensional geodesic equation which bears an exact resemblance to the four-dimensional gravitational equation:

$$\frac{d^2 x^M}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^M_{\Sigma \Xi} \frac{dx^\Sigma}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\Xi}{d\tau} = 0.$$ (2.3)

The above contains five independent equations. We are interested for now in the four equations for which $M = \mu$, which specify motion in ordinary spacetime:

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\Sigma \Xi} \frac{dx^\Sigma}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\Xi}{d\tau} = 0.$$ (2.4)

This expands, using the metric tensor symmetry $g_{MN} = g_{NM}$, to:

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\sigma \tau} \frac{dx^\sigma}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\tau}{d\tau} + 2\Gamma^\mu_{5\sigma} \frac{dx^5}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\sigma}{d\tau} + \Gamma^\mu_{55} \frac{dx^5}{d\tau} \frac{dx^5}{d\tau} = 0.$$ (2.5)

Now, let us contrast (2.5) above to the gravitational geodesic equation which includes the Lorentz force law, namely, equation (20.41) of [10]:

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\sigma \tau} \frac{dx^\sigma}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\tau}{d\tau} - \frac{q}{m} F^\mu_{\sigma} \frac{dx^\sigma}{d\tau} = 0.$$ (2.6)

We now take a critical step: We require that the Lorentz force as expressed above, must be represented as nothing other than geodesic motion in the five-dimensional geometry. The
first two terms in (2.5) and (2.6) are identical, and they specify geodesic motion in an ordinary
gravitational field absent any electrodynamic fields or sources. The absence of any mass or
charge in the first two terms captures the Galilean principle of equivalence, and further expresses
Newtonian inertial motion in a gravitational field via the Christoffel connections $\Gamma^\mu_{\sigma\tau}$.

If we require the Lorentz force to also be fashioned as geodesic motion through
geometry, then we can do so by defining the third terms in (2.5) and (2.6) to be equivalent to one
another, and the fourth term in (2.5) to be zero. Therefore, we now define:

$$2\Gamma^\mu_{5\sigma} \frac{dx^5}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\sigma}{d\tau} \equiv -\frac{q}{m} F^\mu_{\sigma} \frac{dx^\sigma}{d\tau}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\Gamma^\mu_{55} \equiv 0.$$ (2.7) (2.8)

One might wish to consider $\Gamma^\mu_{55} \neq 0$, in which case $\Gamma^\mu_{55} \frac{dx^5}{d\tau} \frac{dx^5}{d\tau}$ in (2.5) would become an
additional term in the Lorentz force law, but in the absence of experimental evidence for any
deviations from the Lorentz force law, we shall proceed on the basis of (2.8).

The relationships (2.7) and (2.8), ensure that Lorentz force motion is in fact, no more and
no less than geodesic motion in five dimensions. All else through section 7 will be deduced from
(2.7) and (2.8).

3. Placing the Lorentz Force on a Geometrodynamic Footing as Geodesic Motion

Now, let us focus on equation (2.7). We can divide out $dx^\sigma/d\tau$ from (2.7), and then
write the remaining terms as:

$$2\Gamma^\mu_{5\sigma} \frac{dx^5}{d\tau} \equiv -\frac{1}{\hbar c^5} F^\mu_{\sigma} \frac{q}{m},$$ (3.1)

where we have explicitly restored $h = c = 1$. Now, we separate the proportionalities
$dx^5/d\tau \propto q/m$ and $2\Gamma^\mu_{5\sigma} \propto -F^\mu_{\sigma}$, and turn the proportionalities $\propto$ into equalities by restoring
their dimensional and numeric constants, starting with the former proportionality.
Irrespective of whether the fifth dimension is timelike or spacelike, we take \( dx^5 \) to be given in dimensions of time, so that \( dx^5/d\tau \) is a dimensionless ratio. In the event that the fifth dimension is spacelike, one need merely divide through by \( c \). In rationalized Heaviside-Lorentz units, the electric charge strength \( q \) (for a unit charge such as the electron, muon and tauon) is related to the dimensionless (running) coupling \( \alpha = q^2/4\pi \hbar c \) which approaches \( \alpha \to 1/137.036 \) at low energy. The value of \( \alpha \) is the same in all systems of units but the numerical value of \( q \) is different, so it is imperative that the exact expression for \( dx^5/d\tau \propto q/m \) be based on \( \alpha \) rather than \( q \), and be independent of where the \( 4\pi \) factor appears. Further, to match dimensions with \( \sqrt{\hbar c} \) the mass \( m \) needs to be multiplied by a factor of \( \sqrt{G} \). Taking all of this into account, we now define:

\[
\frac{dx^5}{d\tau} \equiv -\frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c \alpha}{Gm}} = -\frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c \alpha}{4\pi G m}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\hbar c^5 \cdot b \kappa m}} \cdot \frac{2 \cdot q \cdot m}{c^2 \kappa b dx^5}.
\]  

(3.2)

\[
\frac{2}{c^2 \kappa} = -\frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c \alpha}{4\pi G m}} \cdot \frac{dx^5}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c \alpha}{4\pi G m}} \cdot \frac{1}{m} \cdot \frac{q}{c^2 \kappa b} m = -\frac{2}{c^2 \kappa b} \cdot \frac{q}{2} d\tau
\]

\[
\frac{dx^5}{d\tau} \equiv -\frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c \alpha}{Gm}} = -\frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c \alpha}{4\pi G m}} = -\frac{2}{c^2 \kappa b} m
\]

\[
2\Gamma^\mu_{5\sigma} \frac{dx^5}{d\tau} \equiv -\frac{q}{m} F^\mu_{\sigma} \text{ for LF.} \quad \Gamma^\mu_{5\sigma} \equiv c^2 \kappa b F^\mu_{\sigma}
\]

where \( b \) is a dimensionless, numeric constant of proportionality that we are free at this moment to choose at will, which we will carry throughout the development, and which will ultimately be deduced to be \( b^2 = 8 \) when we obtain the Maxwell stress-energy tensor, see equations (10.14) and (10.15) infra. The equivalence between the first two terms is independent of the system of units but the terms containing \( q \) are in Heaviside-Lorentz units.

Then, we substitute (3.2) into (3.1) to obtain:

\[
\Gamma^\mu_{5\sigma} \equiv \frac{1}{c^2} b \kappa F^\mu_{\sigma}.
\]

(3.3)
The definitions (3.2) and (3.3), together with \( \Gamma'_{55} \equiv 0 \) from (2.8), when substituted into (2.5), turn the five-dimensional geodesic equation (2.5) into the Lorentz force law, and places this electrodynamic motion onto a totally-geometrodynamic footing. Of course, (3.3) is of further value, because it also relates the mixed field strength tensor \( F'_{\mu \sigma} \) to the extra-dimensional connection components \( \Gamma'_{5\sigma} \), and this will lead to numerous other results. Although the \( \Gamma^M_{\Sigma\Gamma} \) are not themselves tensors in general, (3.3) does suggest that that particular components \( \Gamma'_{5\sigma} \) do transform in the same way as the mixed tensor \( F'_{\mu \sigma} \), multiplied by a the constant factor \( \kappa \). This “suggestion” is formally validated by the result (6.4), infra. (See Klein’s [2], between equations (6) and (7), which is effectively the same as (3.3) above.)

The question of whether the foregoing are fair suppositions, now rests on the correctness and sensibility of the deductions to which they lead.

4. Timelike versus Spacelike for the Fifth Dimension, and a Possible Connection to Intrinsic Spin

The results above are independent of whether the extra dimension is timelike or spacelike. In this section, we make a brief digression to examine each of these alternatives in a very basic way. This section can be safely skipped by the reader wishing to proceed straight into the main line of development.

Transforming into an “at rest” frame, \( dx^1 = dx^2 = dx^3 = 0 \), the spacetime metric equation \( d\tau^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \) reduces to \( d\tau = \pm \sqrt{g_{00}} dx^0 \), and (3.2) becomes:

\[
\frac{dx^5}{dx^0} = \pm \frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{g_{00}}{4\pi G}} \frac{q}{m}.
\]
For a timelike fifth dimension, \( x^5 \) may be drawn as a second axis orthogonal to \( x^0 \), and the physics ratio \( q/m \) (which, by the way, results in the \( q/m \) material body in an electromagnetic field actually “feeling” a Newtonian force in the sense of \( F = ma \) due to the inequivalence of electrical and inertial mass) measures the “angle” at which the material body moves through the \( x^5, x^0 \) “time plane.”

For a spacelike fifth dimension, where one may wish to employ a compactified, hyper-cylindrical \( x^5 \equiv R\phi \) (see [11], Figure 1) and \( R \) is a constant radius (distinguish from the Ricci scalar by context), \( dx^5 \equiv Rd\phi \). Substituting this into (3.2), leaving in the \( \pm \) ratio obtained in (4.1), and inserting \( c \) into the first term to maintain a dimensionless equation, then yields:

\[
\frac{Rd\phi}{cd\tau} = \pm \frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{h\alpha}{Gm}} = \pm \frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi G}} q, -\frac{q}{m} = \frac{c^2 R}{2 d\tau} dx^5
\]

\[
\frac{c^2 R}{2 d\tau} dx^5 = \frac{c^2 R}{2 cd\tau} = \frac{2}{c^2 R} q
\]

\[(4.2)\]

\[
\frac{dx^5}{d\tau} = \frac{Rd\phi}{cd\tau} = \frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{h\alpha}{Gm}} = -\frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi G}} q = -\frac{2}{c^2 R} q
\]

\[
\frac{dx^5}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{4b} \sqrt{\frac{h\alpha}{Gm}} = -\frac{1}{4b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi G}} q = -\frac{1}{2c^2 R} q
\]

\[
\frac{dx^5}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{h\alpha}{Gm}} = -\frac{1}{b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi G}} q = -\frac{2}{c^2 R} q
\]

We see that here, the physics ratio \( q/m \) measures an “angular frequency” of fifth-dimensional rotation. Interestingly, this frequency runs inversely to the mass, and by classical principles, this means that the angular momentum is independent of the mass, i.e., constant. If one doubles the mass, one halves the tangential velocity, and if the radius stays constant, then so too does the angular momentum. Together with the \( \pm \) factor, one might suspect that this constant angular momentum is, by virtue of its constancy independently of mass, related to intrinsic spin. In fact,
following this line of thought, one can arrive at an exact expression for the compactification radius \( R \), in the following manner:

Assume that \( x^5 \) is spacelike, casting one’s lot with the preponderance of those who study Kaluza-Klein theory. In (4.2), move the \( c \) away from the first term and move the \( m \) over to the first term. Then, multiply all terms by another \( R \). Everything is now dimensioned as an angular momentum \( m \cdot v \cdot R \), which we have just ascertained is constant irrespective of mass. So, set this all to \( \pm \frac{1}{2} n \hbar \), which for \( n = 1 \), represents intrinsic spin. The result is as follows:

\[
m \frac{R d\phi}{d\tau} R = \pm \frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{hc^3}{G}} R = \pm \frac{1}{b} \frac{c}{\sqrt{4\pi G}} q R = \pm \frac{1}{2} \frac{n \hbar}{R}.
\] (4.3)

\[
m \frac{R d\phi}{d\tau} R = \pm \frac{1}{b} \sqrt{\frac{hc}{G}} R = \pm \frac{1}{b} \frac{c}{\sqrt{4\pi G}} q R = \pm \frac{1}{2} n \hbar
\]

\[
\frac{dx^5}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{4b} \sqrt{\frac{hc}{Gm}} = -\frac{1}{4b} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi G}} \frac{q}{m} = -\frac{1}{2c^2 \hbar} \frac{q}{m}
\]

Now, take the second and fourth terms, and solve for \( R \) with \( n = 1 \), to yield:

\[
R = \frac{b}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} \sqrt{\frac{Gh}{c^3}} = \frac{b}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} L_p,
\] (4.4)

where \( L_p = \sqrt{Gh/c^3} \) is the Planck length. This gives a definitive size for the compactification radius, and it is very close to the Planck length. (Keep in mind that we will eventually find in (10.14) infra that \( b^2 = 8 \), so (4.4) will become \( R = L_p \sqrt{2/\alpha} \).) What is of interest, is that \( \alpha \) is a running coupling. At low probe energies, where \( \alpha \to 1/137.036 \), \( R = 5.853 \cdot b \cdot L_p \). However, this is just the apparent radius relative to the low probe energy. If one were to probe to a regime where \( \alpha \) becomes large, say, of order unity, \( \alpha = 1 \) then \( R = \frac{b}{2} L_p \) is quite close to the Planck
length of Wheeler’s geometrodynamic vacuum “foam.” [10] Since we have based
the foregoing on a unit charge with spin \( \frac{1}{2} \), and since this is independent of the mass, the
foregoing would appear to characterize the compactification radius \( R \) for all of the charged
leptons, and to provide a geometric foundation for intrinsic spin. This suggests that for \( \alpha = 1 \) or
on the order of unity, the compactification radius of the fifth dimension may become
synonymous with the Planck length itself, or the Schwarzschild radius of the vacuum, or
something close to one of both of these.

While (4.2) applies generally for a compactified spacelike fifth dimension, before
proceeding too far with this intrinsic spin interpretation (4.3), however, it is worth noting that for
a neutral body, \( q = 0 \), such as the neutrino, we have \( d\phi/d\tau = 0 \), and so there is no fifth-
dimensional rotation. More generally, any electrically-neutral body must be considered to be
non-moving through the \( x^5 \) dimension, \( dx^5 = 0 \). This would suggest that the neutrino has no
intrinsic spin, which is, of course, contradicted by empirical knowledge. So, (4.3), while
intriguing, does need to be studied further. Also, the intrinsic spin interpretation (4.3) suggests
conversely, that any elementary scalar particle which has no intrinsic spin, must be electrically
neutral. This is, in fact, true of the hypothesized Higgs boson. [13]

One other point should be made before returning to the main development, especially
because we will later be compelled in (10.14) to regard the fifth dimension as spacelike, and
because a primary discomfort which many physicists have with Kaluza-Klein theory emerges
from the compactified, fifth spatial dimension, because this dimension does not appear to have
any physical manifestation. [14]

Despite the above puzzle regarding the neutrino, the use of the term “intrinsic” to
describe an inherent quantized angular momentum of elementary particles, covers up what is
actually a deep ignorance of what “intrinsic spin” really means, geometrically. Why? For a

* By way of review, the Planck mass, defined from the term atop Newton’s law as a mass for which \( GM_p^2 = \hbar c \),
is thus \( M_p = \sqrt{\hbar c/G} \). In the geometrodynamic vacuum, the negative gravitational energy between Planck
masses separated by the Planck length \( L_p = \sqrt{\hbar c/G} \) precisely counterbalances and cancels the positive energy of
the Planck masses themselves. The Schwarzschild radius of a Plank mass \( R_s = 2GM_p/c^2 = 2\sqrt{\hbar c/G} \).

* This may be resolved if one considers Kaluza-Klein in a non-Abelian (Yang-Mills) SU(2) \( \times U(1)_Y \) rather than the
present abelian U(1)\( \text{em} \) context, because the neutrino will then have a non-zero weak isospin \( I^3 = +\frac{1}{2} \) to lay a
geodesic foundation for its intrinsic spin, and by recognizing that in the context of U(1)\( \text{em} \), one really cannot speak
anyway, about any particles other than charged leptons and photons.
material body to have an angular momentum, there must implicitly be a radius $R$ with which that body circles about an origin. Even the smallest objects, if they have an angular momentum, must be rotating or spinning – at some finite spatial radius – about an origin. At the same time, nobody believes that intrinsic spin represents an angular momentum about a radius $R$ in the three usual spatial dimensions. By associating intrinsic spin with motion through a fourth, compactified, hyper-cylindrical spatial dimension, one simultaneously makes sense of intrinsic spin and of a compact fourth spatial dimension. The material body now has a spatial radius $R$ of rotation through a spatial dimension other than the usual three spatial dimensions to give meaning to its “intrinsic” spin, and the compactified fourth dimension now takes on real, physical meaning as something which is physically observed, via the phenomenon of intrinsic spin, and not merely a fictional idea that gives people pause about Kaluza-Klein theories specifically, and dimensional compactification in general.

In sum, the understanding of intrinsic spin as cyclical motion through a fourth dimension of space which is curled up into a radius on the order of the Planck length, if this can be developed further and sustained, may be useful to overcome one of the most nagging objections about Kaluza-Klein theories, and would underscore a clearly-observed, physical manifestation of the fourth space dimension, rather than requiring one to reply, with some disingenuity, that the extra space dimension is too small so nobody will ever see it anyway. Thus, we conclude with the provisional hypothesis, that the fourth spatial dimension is best thought of as the “intrinsic spin dimension” of a real, physical, five-dimensional spacetime.

5. Symmetric Gravitation and Antisymmetric Electrodynamics

Now, following the brief digression in section 4, let us turn back to the association

$$\Gamma^{\mu}_{\sigma\tau} \equiv \frac{1}{4} b R F_{\mu} \quad \text{in (3.3), which arises from the requirement that the Lorentz force be represented as geodesic motion in five dimensions.}$$

We know that $F_{\mu\nu} = -F_{\nu\mu}$ is an antisymmetric tensor. By virtue of (3.3), this will place certain constraints on the related Christoffel connections

$$\Gamma^{\alpha\beta}_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} \left( g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\beta\alpha} - g_{\alpha\beta} \right),$$

and it is important to find out what these are. These constraints, in the next section, will provide the basis for placing Maxwell’s equations onto a purely geometrodynamic footing.
First, because we are working in five dimensions, we will find it desirable to generalize $F^{\mu\nu}$ to $F^{MN}$. We make no \textit{a priori} supposition about the additional components in $F^{MN}$, other than to require that they be antisymmetric, $F^{MN} \equiv -F^{NM}$. \textbf{Any other information about these new components is to be deduced, not imposed.} Second, we generalize (3.3) into the full five dimensions, thus:

\begin{equation}
\Gamma^M_{55} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{MA} g_{55,A} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{M}_{55} = \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{M}_{5}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
-\frac{1}{2} g_{55,M} = \frac{1}{2} b \kappa F_{M 5}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
-\frac{1}{2} g_{55,5} = \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F_{55} = 0
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
-\frac{1}{2} g_{55,M} = \frac{1}{2} g_{5M,5} = \frac{1}{2} b \kappa F_{M 5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F_{5M}
\end{equation}

\begin{align}
g_{5M,5} &= 0 \quad g_{55,M} = 0 \quad \text{derived!!!}
\end{align}

\begin{equation}
\Gamma^M_{55} = \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{M}_{5}.
\end{equation}

By virtue of (2.8), $\Gamma^\mu_{55} \equiv 0$, we may immediately deduce that:

\begin{equation}
\Gamma^\mu_{55} = \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\mu}_{5} = 0.
\end{equation}

As it stands, $F^{M}_{\Sigma}$ is a mixed tensor, and it would be better to raise this into contravariant form where we can clearly examine the consequences of having an antisymmetric field strength $F^{MN} \equiv -F^{NM}$. Thus, let us now raise the lower index in (5.1), and at the same time equate this to the Christoffel connections, as such:

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{MN} = \frac{1}{4} b \kappa g^{EN} F^{M}_{\Sigma} = g^{EN} \Gamma^{M}_{55} = \frac{1}{2} g^{MA} g^{EN} \left( g_{A5,\Sigma} + g_{\Sigma A,5} - g_{5\Sigma A} \right)\)
\end{equation}

Now, we use (5.3) to write $F^{MN} = -F^{NM}$ completely in terms of the metric tensor $g_{MN}$ and its first derivatives, as:

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{MN} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{NM} = g^{MA} g^{EN} \left( g_{A5,\Sigma} + g_{\Sigma A,5} - g_{5\Sigma A} \right) = -g^{NA} g^{SM} \left( g_{A5,\Sigma} + g_{\Sigma A,5} - g_{5\Sigma A} \right).
\end{equation}

Renaming indexies, and using the symmetry of the metric tensor, this is readily reduced to:

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{4} g^{NE} g^{TN} = 0.
\end{equation}

This is an alternative, geometric way of saying that $F^{MN} = -F^{NM}$. 
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We can further simplify this using the inverse relationship \( g^{TN} g_{\Sigma E} = \delta^N_\Sigma \), which we can differentiate to obtain \( (g^{TN} g_{\Sigma E})_A = g^{TN \cdot A} g_{\Sigma E} + g^{TN} g_{\Sigma E,A} = 0 \), i.e., \( g^{TN} g_{\Sigma E,A} = -g^{TN \cdot A} g_{\Sigma E} \). This can then be used with \( A = 5 \) to reduce (5.4) to the very simple expressions, for both the covariant and contravariant metric tensor:
\[
\begin{align*}
g^{MN}_{\cdot 5} &= 0; \quad g_{MN,5} = 0. \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
\]
This states that all components of the metric tensor are constant when differentiated with respect to the fifth dimension.

Now, we return to write out \( \Gamma^\mu_{55} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu A} \left( g_{A5,5} + g_{5A,5} - g_{55,A} \right) = 0 \) from (2.8), see also (5.2). Combined with \( g_{MN,5} = 0 \) above and \( g^{TN} g_{\Sigma E,A} = -g^{TN \cdot A} g_{\Sigma E} \) we further deduce that:
\[
\begin{align*}
g^{55}_{\cdot A} &= 0; \quad g_{55,A} = 0 \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
\]
This means, quite importantly, that \( g_{55} = \text{constant} \) and \( g^{55} = \text{constant} \), everywhere in the five-dimensional geometry.

To fix these constant values, consider the weak-field limit \( g_{MN} \to \eta_{MN} \). If the fifth dimension is timelike, \( \text{diag}(\eta_{\mu\nu}) = (+1,-1,-1,-1,1) \) and \( g_{55} = g^{55} = +1 \). If it is spacelike (briefly explored regarding intrinsic spin in section 4), then \( \text{diag}(\eta_{MN}) = (+1,-1,-1,-1,-1) \) and \( g_{55} = g^{55} = -1 \). But, by (5.7), if the above expressions for \( g_{55} \) and \( g^{55} \) are true anywhere, then they are true everywhere. Therefore:
\[
\begin{align*}
g_{55} = g^{55} = +1, \quad \text{or} \quad g_{55} = g^{55} = -1. \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
\]
respectively, for a timelike or spacelike fifth dimension. In either case, timelike or spacelike, \( g^{55} g_{55} = 1 \). The inverse \( g^{rs} g_{r5} = g^{rs} g_{r5} + g^{55} g_{55} = g^{rs} g_{r5} + 1 = \delta^s_5 = 1 \) then leads also to the null condition:
\[
\begin{align*}
g^{rs} g_{r5} &= 0, \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
\]
which applies irrespective of the timelike versus spacelike choice.

Finally, using (5.1) together with (5.6) and (5.7), we may deduce:
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{4} b^k P^5_s = \Gamma^5_{5s} &= \frac{1}{2} g^{5A} \left( g_{A5,s} + g_{5A,s} - g_{55,A} \right) = 0. \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
\]
Taking this together with (5.2), $\Gamma^\mu_{55} = \frac{1}{2} b \kappa F^\mu_5 = 0$, we have now deduced that all of the newly-introduced fifth-dimensional components for the mixed field strength tensor are zero, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{2} b \kappa F^M_5 = \Gamma^M_{55} = 0.$$  \hfill (5.11)

The free index in $F^M_5 = 0$ above can easily be lowered to also find that the covariant:

$$F^M_{5} = -F^5_{5M} = 0.$$  \hfill (5.12)

But, since the ordinary spacetime components of $F^\mu_\nu$ are non-zero, one should take care to ensure that the contravariant tensor components $F^{5M} = -F^{5M} = 0$ as well, that is, we want to make sure that the fixed index “5” in (5.11) can properly be raised. One can employ (5.1) together with the explicit components for $\Gamma^M_{5\Sigma}$ to write:

$$F^{MN} = g^{\Sigma N} F^M_\Sigma = g^{\Sigma N} \Gamma^M_{5\Sigma} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\Sigma N} g^{MA} \left( g^{A5\Sigma} + g^{\Sigma A5} - g^{5\Sigma A} \right).$$  \hfill (5.13)

Expanding this to separate the $\mu$ from the $5$ components, and applying (5.6), (5.7) and (5.9) as needed, together with $F^{MN} = -F^{NM}$ to eliminate the only term which (5.6), (5.7) and (5.9) cannot directly eliminate, one can indeed deduce that in addition to (5.11) and (5.12):

$$F^{MN}_5 = -F^{5M}_5 = 0.$$  \hfill (5.14)

Now, the free index can be easily lowered, referring also to (5.1), to find that:

$$\frac{1}{2} b \kappa F^5_5 = \Gamma^5_{55} = \Gamma^5_{55} = 0.$$  \hfill (5.15)

i.e., $F^5_5 = 0$. So, we find that all of the newly-introduced fifth-dimensional components of the field strength tensor $F^{MN}$, whether in raised, lowered, or either mixed form, are equal to zero. Equations (5.11), $\Gamma^M_{55} = 0$, and (5.15), $\Gamma^5_{5M} = \Gamma^5_{5M} = 0$, taken together, tell us that as well, the “rule” that any Christoffel connection with “two or more fifth-dimension indexes,” is also equal to zero.

Combining (5.1) with $F^{55}_5 = -F^{55}_5 = 0$ as well as $F^M_{55} = -F^5_{5M} = 0$, we may deduce two further relationships:

$$g^{\Sigma M} \Gamma^5_{5\Sigma} = -g^{\Sigma 5} \Gamma^5_{5\Sigma} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g^{TM} \Gamma^M_{55} = -g^M_{5M} \Gamma^M_{5T} = 0,$$  \hfill (5.16)

which are variations of the “two or more fifth dimension index” rule noted above.
It is also helpful as we shall soon see when we examine the Riemann tensor, to make note of the fact that:

\[ \Gamma^M_{\Sigma T,5} = \frac{1}{2} g^{MA} \left( g_{ \Sigma A,1} + g_{ TA,\Sigma} - g_{ \Sigma T,1} \right) + \frac{1}{2} g^{MA} \left( g_{ A \Sigma,T,5} + g_{ TA,\Sigma,5} - g_{ \Sigma T,A,5} \right) = 0. \]  

(5.17)

This makes use of (5.6) and the fact that ordinary derivatives commute. A further variation of (5.17) employs (5.1) to also write, for the field strength tensor:

\[ \Gamma^M_{5\Sigma,5} = \frac{1}{2} b \bar{\Gamma}^M_{\Sigma,5} = 0. \]  

(5.18)

i.e., \( F^M_{,5,5} = 0 \). Lust like the metric tensor, all components of the field strength tensor are constant when differentiated with respect to the fifth dimension.

Again, at bottom, every result in this section is a consequence of relationships (5.1) and (5.2), taken in combination with the antisymmetric field strength \( F^{MN} = -F^{NM} \). Now, we have the tools required to turn to the Riemann tensor, and to Maxwell’s equations.

### 6. Maxwell’s Equations as Pure Geometry

We have shown how Lorentz force motion might be described as simple geodesic motion in a five-dimensional Kaluza-Klein spacetime geometry. But equations of motion are only one part of a complete (classical) field theory. The other part is a specification of how the “sources” of that theory create the “fields” originating from those sources. In a complete theory, the equations of motion then describe motion through the fields originating from the sources. It is now time to place Maxwell’s equations on a firm geometric footing.

In five dimensions, we specify the Riemann tensor in the usual way, albeit with an extra fifth-dimensional index. That is:

\[ R^A_{BM,N} = -\Gamma^A_{BM,N} + \Gamma^A_{BN,M} + \Gamma^E_{BN} \Gamma^A_{\Sigma M} - \Gamma^E_{BM} \Gamma^A_{\Sigma N}. \]  

(6.1)

Now, let’s consider the \( M = 5 \) component of this equation, that is:

\[ R^A_{B5,N} = -\Gamma^A_{B5,N} + \Gamma^A_{BN,5} + \Gamma^E_{BN} \Gamma^A_{\Sigma 5} - \Gamma^E_{B5} \Gamma^A_{\Sigma N}. \]  

(6.2)

By virtue of \( \Gamma^M_{\Sigma T,5} = 0 \), equation (5.17), which is in turn a consequence of \( g_{MN,5} = 0 \), which is in turn a consequence of \( F^{MN} = -F^{NM} \), the second term zeros out, and (6.2) becomes:

\[ R^A_{B5,N} = -\Gamma^A_{B5,N} + \Gamma^E_{BN} \Gamma^A_{\Sigma 5} - \Gamma^E_{B5} \Gamma^A_{\Sigma N}. \]  

(6.3)
Substituting (5.1), i.e., $\Gamma^M_{\Sigma\xi} = \frac{1}{4} b\kappa F^M_{\Sigma\xi}$ into the above, and with some minor term rearrangement, we immediately arrive at the very critical expression:

$$R^A_{BSN} = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa \left( F^A_{B, N} + \Gamma^A_{\Sigma N} F^\Sigma_B - \Gamma^A_{BN} F^A_\Sigma \right) = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa F^A_{B, N} .$$  \hspace{1cm} (6.4)

In particular, these three remaining terms of $R^A_{BSN}$ turn out to be identical with the expression for the gravitationally-covariant derivative $F^A_{B, N}$ of the mixed field strength tensor, times the constant factor $-\frac{1}{4} b\kappa$. This leads us immediately to a geometric foundation for Maxwell’s equations in the following way:

As regards Maxwell’s electric charge equation, we contract (6.4) down to its Ricci tensor component $R_{B5}$ and define a five-current $J_B$ with covariant 5-space index:

$$R_{B5} = R^\Sigma_{B5\Sigma} = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa \left( F^\Sigma_{B, \Sigma} + \Gamma^\Sigma_{\tau \Sigma} F^\tau_B - \Gamma^\tau_{B \Sigma} F^\Sigma_\tau \right) = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa F^\Sigma_{B, \Sigma} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa J_B .$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.5)

Now, we separate this into the two equations as such:

$$R_{\beta5} = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa \left( F^\sigma_{\beta, \sigma} + \Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha \sigma} F^\tau_\beta - \Gamma^\tau_{\beta \sigma} F^\sigma_\tau \right) = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa F^\sigma_{\beta, \sigma} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa J_\beta , \text{ and}$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.6)

$$R_{55} = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa \left( F^\Sigma_{5, \Sigma} + \Gamma^\Sigma_{\tau 5} F^\tau_5 - \Gamma^\tau_{5 \Sigma} F^\Sigma_\tau \right) = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa F^\Sigma_{5, \Sigma} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa J_5 .$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.7)

In (6.6), note that because $F^\Sigma_\Sigma = 0$ and $\Gamma^\Sigma_{5 5} = 0$ (see 5.15), we can easily drop the $\Sigma, T$ indexes down to $\sigma, \tau$. In (6.7), however, we leave $F^\Sigma_{5, \Sigma}$ as is because as we shall note in a moment, this term is not zero.

In (6.6), we discern the four-covariant derivative $F^\sigma_{\beta, \sigma} = F^\sigma_{\beta, \sigma} + \Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha \sigma} F^\tau_\beta - \Gamma^\tau_{\beta \sigma} F^\sigma_\tau$, which is what allowed us to drop $F^\Sigma_{\beta, \Sigma}$ to $F^\sigma_{\beta, \sigma}$. This means that $J_\beta = F^\sigma_{\beta, \sigma}$ is the observed electromagnetic current source density, with covariant index. This is Maxwell’s electric charge equation, on a geometric foundation.

For the fifth-dimensional component $R_{55}$ in (6.7), we can use $F^\tau_5 = 0$ to eliminate the first two terms inside the parenthesis, but the third term is not zero. For the third term, we again employ the substitution $\Gamma^M_{\Sigma\xi} = \frac{1}{4} b\kappa F^M_{\Sigma\xi}$ from (5.1). Thus:

$$R_{55} = -\frac{1}{16} b^2 \kappa^2 F^\sigma_\tau F^\sigma_\tau = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa F^\Sigma_{5, \Sigma} = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa J_5 .$$ \hspace{1cm} (6.8)
In the above, we have used \( F^\Sigma_T F^\Sigma_T = F^\Sigma_T F_{\Sigma_T} = -F^\Sigma_T F_{\Sigma_T} = -F^{\sigma_T} F_{\sigma_T} \). Note, that we raise and lower indexes while they are five-dimensional, then we reduce to lowercase Greek indexes via \( F^\Sigma_\Sigma = F_{\Sigma_\Sigma} = 0 \).

Now, we begin to notice a significant result: Despite the \( F^\Sigma_5,5 = F^{\sigma,5,5} + F^{5,5}_5 \) term in (6.8) containing components of a mixed tensor which vanish in their own right, namely \( F^{5,5}_5 = 0 \), this term for \( R_{55} \) is not equal to zero, and so, \( F^\Sigma_5,5 \neq 0 \). Rather, we find that the covariant derivative term \( F^\Sigma_5,5 = F^{\sigma,5,5} + F^{5,5}_5 \neq 0 \) does not vanish even though \( F^\Sigma_5 = 0 \), and in fact, leaves a very central term \( F^{\sigma_T} F_{\sigma_T} \) found in the QED free-field Lagrangian

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD (Free)}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma_T} F_{\sigma_T} \quad \text{and in} \quad T^{\mu,\maxwell} = -\left( F^{\mu,\sigma} F_{\nu,\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} \delta^{\mu,\nu} F^{\sigma_T} F_{\sigma_T} \right),
\]

the Maxwell stress-energy tensor in Heaviside-Lorentz units. One may think of \( F^{\sigma_T} F_{\sigma_T} \) as being “gravitationally induced” out of \( F^\Sigma_5 = 0 \), solely as a non-linear gravitational effect, because in the absence of gravitation, covariant derivatives approach ordinary derivatives and so \( F^\Sigma_5,5 \rightarrow F^\Sigma_5,5 = 0 \). This induced term originates from the final term \(- \Gamma^\Sigma_{5M} \Gamma^\lambda_{\Sigma M} \) of the Riemann tensor \( R^{\lambda}_{\Sigma M} \), via the progression \( \Gamma^\Sigma_{\Sigma M} \Gamma^\lambda_{\Sigma M} \rightarrow \Gamma^\Sigma_{5T} \Gamma^T_{\Sigma 5} = \frac{1}{16} b^2 \kappa^2 F^{\Sigma_T} F_{\Sigma_T} \), starting from (6.1), and using \( \Gamma^M_{5\Sigma} = \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^M_{\Sigma} \) from (5.1).

So, the upshot of (6.8), is that the fifth component of the five-covariant current source density in a five-dimensional spacetime, \( J_5 = F^\Sigma_5,5 = \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\sigma_T} F_{\sigma_T} \), is not zero despite \( F^\Sigma_5 = 0 \), is gravitationally-induced from the term \( \Gamma^\Sigma_{\Sigma M} \Gamma^\lambda_{\Sigma M} \) in the Riemann tensor, and carries the \( F^{\sigma_T} F_{\sigma_T} \) scalar which is central to QED and the Maxwell stress-energy tensor and which, in the free-field Lagrangian, represents the kinetic energy of a photon.

Turning now to Maxwell’s magnetic equation, we first lower the \( A \) index in (6.4),

\[
R_{5MNB} = g_M^{\Lambda} R^{\Lambda}_{B5N}, \quad \text{and use} \quad R_{ABMN} = R_{MNAB} \quad \text{to write:}
\]

\[
R_{5MNB} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( g_M^{\Lambda} F_{B,N}^{\Lambda} + g_M^{\Lambda} \Gamma^\Lambda_{\Sigma M} F^\Sigma_B - g_M^{\Lambda} \Gamma^\Sigma_{B \Sigma} F^{\Lambda}_B \right) = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa g_M^{\Lambda} F_{B,N}^{\Lambda} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F_{MB,N}. \quad (6.9)
\]

Maxwell’s magnetic equation then arises straight from the 5-dimensional rendition of the “first” Bianchi identity:

\[
R_{MNAB} + R_{MABN} + R_{MBNA} = 0. \quad (6.10)
\]
Making use of \((6.9)\), the \(M = 5\) component of this is:

\[
R_{5NAB} + R_{5ABN} + R_{5BNA} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa (F_{AB;N} + F_{BN;A} + F_{NA,B}) = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa (F_{AB,N} + F_{BN,A} + F_{NA,B}) = 0 ,
\] (6.11)

where we account for the well-known fact that in the cyclic combination of \((6.11)\) with antisymmetric tensors, the Christoffel terms in the covariant derivatives cancel identically, so the covariant derivatives becomes ordinary derivatives. In the \(NAB = \nu \alpha \beta\) subset of this, we immediately obtain Maxwell’s magnetic equation

\[
F_{\alpha \beta,\nu} + F_{\nu \alpha,\nu} + F_{\nu \nu,\beta} = 0 .
\] (6.12)

In light of our earlier having found some new terms in Maxwell’s electric charge equation arising from the fifth dimension, see, e.g., the \(R_{55}\) equation in (6.8), one may ask whether there are any additional electrodynamic terms of interest in the \((6.11)\) above, in the circumstance where more than a single fifth-dimensional index is employed. Because

\[
R_{ABMN} = R_{MABN} = -R_{BAMN} ,
\]

it is clear that with more than two fifth-dimensional indexes, e.g., \(R_{555, \nu}\), \((6.11)\) will identically reduce to zero. But we should explore whether there is any additional electrodynamic information to be gleaned when exactly two fifth-dimensional indexes are used in \((6.11)\). Thus, we may examine, say:

\[
R_{55AB} + R_{5AB5} + R_{5B5A} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa (F_{AB,5} + F_{B5;A} + F_{5A,B}) = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa (F_{AB,5} + F_{B5,A} + F_{5A,B}) = 0 .
\] (6.13)

We learn from \((6.8)\), especially \(F^{\sigma}_{5, \sigma} = \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\sigma} F_{\sigma, \sigma} \neq 0\), not to automatically eliminate a field strength term such as \(F^{\sigma}_{5}\) when it appears in a covariant derivative, i.e., \(F^{\sigma}_{5, \sigma}\). However, the migration of covariant to ordinary derivatives in the cyclic combination of \((6.11)\) removes this complication. We know from \((5.12)\) that \(F_{B5} = F_{5A} = 0\), so their the ordinary derivatives of these will vanish as well. The remaining \(F_{AB,5} = \left(g_{A2} F^{\Sigma}_{B}\right)_{5} = g_{A2} F^{\Sigma}_{B} + g_{A2} F^{\Sigma}_{B,5} = 0\) in \((6.13)\), by virtue of \((5.6)\), \(g_{A2,5} = 0\), and \((5.18)\), \(F^{\Sigma}_{B,5} = 0\). Thus, \((6.13)\) is identically equal to zero, not only because of the Bianchi identity, but because of the inherent properties of the \(F_{AB}\) and \(g_{AB}\) developed in section \(5\). Thus, there is no additional electrodynamic information to be gleaned from \((6.13)\).
We have now placed each of Maxwell’s equations on a solely geometric footing. Maxwell’s source equation in covariant (lower index) form is specified by (6.6), namely,

\[ R_{\beta\delta} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J_\beta = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\alpha}_{\beta\sigma} . \]

The fifth component of this source equation, (6.8), contains the very central term \( F_{QCD(Free)} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \), which is central to QED and to the Maxwell stress-energy tensor. Maxwell’s magnetic equation is simply a fifth-dimensional component (6.11) of the first Bianchi identity \( R_{MNAB} + R_{MABN} + R_{MBNA} = 0 \). And, the Lorentz force equation (2.6), upon which the foregoing geometrization of Maxwell’s equations is based, is merely the equation for four-space geodesic motion in the five-dimensional geometry,

\[ \frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\xi\tau} \frac{dx^\xi}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\tau}{d\tau} = 0 , \]

With source equations producing fields and with material bodies in those fields moving over geodesics that are identical to and synonymous with the Lorentz force, Maxwell’s classical electrodynamics with the Lorentz force law now rests on the firm geometrodynamic footing of a five-dimensional Kaluza-Klein geometry. Now, let’s turn our efforts toward deriving the energy tensors and scalars associated with the foregoing.

### 7. Calculation of the Five-Dimensional Curvature Scalar

We begin discussion here by deriving the five-dimensional Ricci curvature scalar \( R_{(5)} = R^5 = R + R^5_5 \), where the ordinary four-dimensional curvature scalar \( R = R^\sigma_{\sigma} \). We’ll start with \( R^5_5 \).

In (6.5), we have already found \( R_{b\delta} \). So, all we need do is raise the index using

\[ R^M_5 = g^{MB} R_{b\delta} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa g^{MB} F^\Sigma_{b\Sigma} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\Sigma M}_{\Sigma} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J^M , \]

and then take the \( M = 5 \) component. Above, we simply employ the definition of the covariant derivative of a second-rank contravariant tensor, particularly, of \( F^{\Sigma M}_{\Sigma} \).

Now, we separate (7.1) into:

\[ R^M_5 = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\sigma\mu}_{\sigma\mu} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( F^{\sigma\mu}_{\sigma\mu} + \Gamma^{\sigma\mu}_{\alpha\tau} F^{\alpha\tau} + \Gamma^{\mu\sigma}_{\alpha\tau} F^{\alpha\tau} \right) = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J^M , \]

and

\[ R^5_5 = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\Sigma 5}_{\Sigma} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( F^{\Sigma 5}_{\Sigma} + \Gamma^{\Sigma 5}_{\gamma 5} F^{\gamma 5} + \Gamma^{5 5}_{\gamma 5} F^{\gamma 5} \right) = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J^M . \]
In the former equation, (7.2), we employ the same set of reductions used in (6.6), and we see that $R^\mu_5$ contains the contravariant current source density $J^\mu \equiv (\rho, J_{(1)}, J_{(2)}, J_{(3)})$. In (7.3), the first two terms can be eliminated because $F^{TS} = 0$, so with suitable upper-to-lower-case reduction of Greek indexes also via $F^{TS} = 0$, we have:

$$R^5_s = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F_{\Sigma s}^s = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F_{\Sigma s}^s F^{\sigma \tau} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J^s. \quad (7.4)$$

While (5.1) tells us that $\Gamma^M_{5s} = \frac{1}{2} b \kappa F^M_{s \Sigma}$, this is the first time we have had to work with $\Gamma_{s}^{5 \sigma \alpha}$, and because $\Gamma_{s}^{5 \sigma \alpha} = \Gamma_{s}^{5 \sigma \tau}$, this cannot be related directly to $F_{\sigma \tau} = -\frac{b}{\kappa}$. So, let’s find out where the $F_{\sigma \tau} F_{s \tau}$ term comes in.

Another way to arrive at (7.1) from (6.5) is to write:

$$R^M_5 = g^{MB} R_{Bs5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa (g^{MB} F_{s \Sigma B} + g^{MB} \Gamma_{s \Sigma B} F_{s B} - g^{MB} \Gamma_{s B} F_{s \Sigma B}) = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^M_{s \Sigma} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J^M, \quad (7.5)$$

which merely entails using the $g^{MB}$ to raise the indexes in a five-covariant manner. This equation is identical to (7.1), just in a different form. The $M = 5$ component is then:

$$R^5_s = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa (g^{5B} (F_{\beta, s} + \Gamma_{s, \sigma} F_{\beta, \sigma} - \Gamma_{s, \sigma} F_{\sigma, \tau}) - g^{ss} F_{s, \tau}) = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa F_{\Sigma s}^s \equiv -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J^s, \quad (7.6)$$

where we again use suitable $F^{TS} = 0$-based reductions, and have also expanded the final term $-g^{MB} \Gamma_{s B} F_{s B}$ in (7.5) into its spacetime and fifth-dimensional parts. Contrasting with (6.6), we see that $F_{\sigma, \beta} + \Gamma_{s, \alpha} F_{\sigma, \beta} - \Gamma_{s, \alpha} F_{\sigma, \tau} = J_{\beta}$ is simply the lower index current density $J_{\beta}$. And, in the remaining term, we may now employ the (5.1) substitution $\Gamma^T_{s \Sigma} = \frac{1}{2} b \kappa F^T_{s \Sigma}$. So, (7.6) now becomes:

$$R^5_s = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa (g^{5B} J_{\beta} + g^{ss} \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\sigma \tau} F_{s \sigma \tau}) = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa (g^{5B} J_{\beta} + g^{ss} J_5) = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa g^{5B} J_B = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J^s, \quad (7.7)$$

using $J_5 = \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\sigma \tau} F_{s \sigma \tau}$ from (6.8), and $F^T_{s \Sigma} F_{s \Sigma} = F^T_{s \Sigma} F_{s \Sigma} = -F^{\Sigma T} F_{s \Sigma} = -F^{\sigma \tau} F_{s \sigma \tau}$ from following (6.8). So, simply put, $R^5_s$ also contains the $F^{\sigma \tau} F_{s \sigma \tau}$ term, but it arises from the raising of the index in $g^{5B} J_B = J^s$, and so contains the term combination $g^{5B} J_{\beta} + g^{ss} \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\sigma \tau} F_{s \sigma \tau}$. It also helps to see $J^s$ directly as:

$$J^s = g^{5B} J_{\beta} + g^{ss} \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\sigma \tau} F_{s \sigma \tau}, \quad (7.8)$$
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This expression (7.8) will play a central role in the section 10 derivation of the Maxwell tensor.

Returning to compare (7.4) and (7.7), this also means that:

$$\Gamma^s_{\alpha\sigma} F^{\sigma \tau} = g^{s\beta} f_{\beta} + g^{s5} \frac{1}{2} b k F_{\sigma \tau} F^{\sigma \tau}. \quad (7.9)$$

So, now we have all the ingredients needed to write out the five-dimensional curvature scalar $R^{(5)} = R + R^5$, leaving $R$ as a remaining unknown still to be deduced. Using (7.7), we simply write:

$$R^{(5)} = R + R^5 = R + \frac{1}{16} g^{s5} b^2 k^2 F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau} - \frac{1}{4} b k g^{5\beta} f_{\beta}. \quad (7.10)$$

The four-dimensional Ricci scalar $R = R^{\sigma \sigma}$ is still an unknown in (7.1). Now, let us see if there is a way to deduce $R$.

8. The Einstein Hilbert Action, and Derivation of the Energy Tensor and the Ricci Tensor, from Five-Dimensional Variation

At this phase of development, we are at a juncture: Up until this point, all of the development has been based on a single supposition introduced just after (2.6): the requirement that the Lorentz force must be represented as nothing other than geodesic motion in a five-dimensional geometry, as implemented through (2.7) and (2.8). Other than perhaps our imposing the requirement that $F^{MN} = -F^{NM}$, every step taken since then has been fully deductive, with no other assumptions. We have even left open the question of whether the fifth dimension is timelike or spacelike, simply exploring the consequences in the alternative, as pertinent. This has enabled us to place Maxwell’s equations, deductively, on a fully geometric footing, fully specify the fifth-dimensional components of the Ricci tensor $R^M$, and obtain the five dimensional Ricci scalar $R^{(5)}$, but only up to the four-dimensional scalar $R = R^{\sigma \sigma}$, which still stands out as undetermined. Determining $R$, would give us a window into $R^{\mu \nu}$, and this in turn into the remaining $T^{\mu \nu}$ components, among which, one would expect to find the Maxwell stress energy tensor, which would be a final check on the validity of this entire path of development. So, we need to find $R$. To deduce $R$, we must now, finally, make a new supposition beyond that of Lorentz force geodesics, which we do as follows:
Some theorists, particularly those who have adopted the so-called “Space-Time-Matter” view [4], seek the derivation of Einstein’s equations out of a five-dimensional Riemannian geometry without the introduction of explicit matter source terms. There are perhaps several ways to frame this objective: the one we shall choose here, as set forth in the introduction, will be to employ an Einstein-Hilbert action of the general form $S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int R dV$, omitting any source term $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Matter}}$, which is to say, not using an action $S = \int \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \mathcal{S}_{\text{Matter}} \right) dV$. We do this as follows:

Let us now posit that the action of the five-dimensional Riemannian geometry that we have been exploring herein, is to be defined over the four-dimensional spacetime of our common physical experience, in the form:

$$S(g_{MN}) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int R_{(5)} dV = \int \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \frac{1}{2\kappa} R_{5} \right) dV. \quad (8.1)$$

This is a completely geometric definition of the action, without any explicit source term, of the general form $S = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int R dV$, but in which $R$ is replaced by the five-dimensional scalar $R_{(5)} = R^{5}$. 

Now, although there is no explicit source term in (8.1), the $R_{5}$ component serves the role of an implicit source term, because if one contrasts (8.1) with $S = \int \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \mathcal{S}_{\text{Matter}} \right) dV$, we see that one can associate:

$$S(g_{MN}) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int R_{(5)} dV = \int \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \frac{1}{2\kappa} R_{5} \right) dV \equiv \int \left( \frac{1}{2\kappa} R + \mathcal{S}_{\text{Matter}} \right) dV. \quad (8.2)$$

Then, employing $R_{5} = -\frac{1}{4\kappa} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{k} g^{5B} J_{B} = -\frac{1}{4\kappa} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{k} J^{5}$ from (7.7), we have now effectively defined:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{Matter}} = \frac{1}{8\kappa} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{k} g^{5B} J_{B} = -\frac{1}{8\kappa} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{k} g^{MN} \delta_{N}^{5} J_{M} = -\frac{1}{8\kappa} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{k} J^{5}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{8\kappa} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{k} \left( g^{5B} J_{B} + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \mathbf{k} F^{\alpha \beta} F_{\alpha \beta} \right). \quad (8.3)$$

Referring to the old adage that $R_{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} R$ is made of “marble” but $T^{\mu \nu}$ is made of “wood”, the defining of $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Matter}} = \frac{1}{2\kappa} R_{5}$ allows us to fashion a $T^{\mu \nu}$ or “marble” as well, because $R_{5}$ is a completely geometric object.
Now, we can use variational principles to immediately calculate the energy tensor. Specifically, the variation of the 5-dimensional metric tensor determinant \( g_{(5)} \) is specified by
\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{- g_{(5)}}} \frac{\delta}{\delta g^{MN}} \left( \sqrt{- g_{(5)}} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} g_{MN}.
\]
The 5-dimensional energy tensor may be defined from the matter term \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{Matter}} \) according to: (See [6]):
\[
T_{MN} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{- g}} \frac{\delta}{\delta g_{MN}} \left( \sqrt{- g} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Matter}} \right) = -2 \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{Matter}}}{\delta g_{MN}} + g_{MN} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Matter}}.
\] (8.4)

Then, we simply substitute the five-geometry-based \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{Matter}} \) from (8.3) into the above, thus:
\[
\kappa T_{MN} = \left( \frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_N J_M \right) - \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} \left( \frac{1}{4} b \kappa g^{5B} J_B \right),
\] (8.5)

We note from (8.5) that the four-dimensional energy tensor \( \kappa T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{8} g_{\mu\nu} b \kappa g^{5B} J_B \) is symmetric, \( T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\nu\mu} \) with \( \delta^5_\mu = 0 \), but that the fifth-dimensional components \( T_{MN} \) appear to be non-symmetric, because \( \delta^5_N J_M \neq \delta^5_M J_N \). Keep in mind, \( J^5 = g^{5B} J_B \). The transposed (8.5) is:
\[
\kappa T_{NM} = \left( \frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_M J_N \right) - \frac{1}{2} g_{MN} \left( \frac{1}{4} b \kappa g^{5B} J_B \right),
\] (8.6)

The mixed tensors formed from the above by raising \( M \), respectively, are:
\[
-\kappa T^M_N = -\left( \frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_N J^M \right) + \frac{1}{2} \delta^M_N \left( \frac{1}{4} b \kappa g^{5B} J_B \right), \quad \text{and}
\] (8.7)
\[
-\kappa T^N_M = -\left( \frac{1}{4} b \kappa g^{5M} J_N \right) + \frac{1}{2} \delta^M_N \left( \frac{1}{4} b \kappa g^{5B} J_B \right).
\] (8.8)

This non-symmetry is further emphasized by the two different mixed tensors (8.7) and (8.8). There are two possibilities: either the fifth-dimensional components \( T_{5N} \neq T_{N5} \) really are and ought to be non-symmetric, or we will need to take steps to make this tensor symmetric. We defer this for the moment pending a bit more development.

First, despite the non-symmetry, the five-dimensional trace energy from (8.7) and (8.8) turn out to be identical:
\[
\kappa T_{(5)} = -\frac{1}{2} \kappa \kappa \delta J^5.
\] (8.9)
Note that the $\frac{1}{2}$ factors arises because with an extra dimension, $\delta^5_{\xi} = 5$. If we now consider the Einstein equation in five dimensions as $-\kappa T^M_N = R^M_N - \frac{1}{2} \delta^M_N R_{(5)}$, then this contracts down to $\kappa T_{(5)} = \frac{2}{3} R_{(5)}$. Therefore, from (8.9) we deduce to for either (8.7) or (8.8):

$$R_{(5)} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J^5,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.10)

Finally, from the inverse Einstein equation, we deduce from (8.7) and (8.8) respectively, also using the common (8.9), that the $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} = 1$ factors cancel, all of the $\delta^M_N$ terms cancel, and we are left with:

$$R^M_N = -\kappa T^M_N + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \delta^M_N \kappa T_{(5)} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_N J^M,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.11)

$$R^M_N = -\kappa T^M_N + \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \delta^M_N \kappa T_{(5)} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa g^{5M} J_N,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.12)

In retrospect, (8.11) and (8.12) could have been gleaned directly from (8.7) and (8.8), which were written suggestively for that very reason. However, it is useful to confirm that this works via the use of the inverse field equation, even with the extra dimension. Lowering the upper indexes in the above, we obtain the respective covariant:

$$R_{MN} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_N J^M,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.13)

$$R_{MN} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_M J_N,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.14)

which also in non-symmetric in the fifth-dimensional components $R_{5N} \neq R_{N5}$, just like the energy tensor, contrast (8.5). However, what we also deduce from either (8.13) or (8.14) that the covariant curvature tensor in four spacetime dimensions is:

$$R_{\mu\nu} = R_{\nu\mu} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_{\nu} J^\mu = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_{\mu} J^\nu = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.10)

that is: $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$. The $\delta^5_N$ which first made its appearance in (8.3) and (8.5), is effectively a “screen factor” which shuts all four-dimensional components of the covariant Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$ down to zero, and leaves a four-dimensional vacuum under the five-dimensional variation (8.4).

Although $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$, this is not so for $T_{\mu\nu}$, because by (8.5) or (8.6) and (7.8):
\[ \kappa T_{\mu \nu} = \kappa T_{\nu \mu} = -\frac{1}{8} b \kappa g_{\mu \nu} J^5 = -\frac{1}{8} b \kappa g_{\mu \nu} \left( g^{5 \beta} J_\beta + g^{55} \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\alpha \tau} F_{\alpha \tau} \right), \]  

(8.11)

Although non-zero, this tensor is symmetric in four dimensions.

Finally, we set out at the beginning of this section to deduce the four-dimensional Ricci scalar \( R \). Combining (7.7) with (8.8) yields

\[ R_{(5)} = R + R^5 = R - \frac{1}{4} b \kappa g^{5 \Sigma} J_\Sigma = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa g^{5 \Sigma} J_\Sigma, \]

i.e.:

\[ R = 0. \]  

(8.12)

More directly, this also comes from \( R_{\mu \nu} = 0 \), (8.10). However, the ordinary, four-dimensional trace energy is not zero, but from (8.11), is:

\[ \kappa T = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J^5 = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( g^{5 \beta} J_\beta + g^{55} \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\alpha \tau} F_{\alpha \tau} \right). \]  

(8.13)

The derivation in this section made use of a five-dimensional variation, i.e., a variation using \( \delta g^{MN} \). In section 10, we shall see how a four-dimensional variation \( \delta g^{\mu \nu} \) leads to the Maxwell stress energy tensor. But first, we pause to examine the non-symmetry of the fifth-dimensional component of the Ricci tensor, \( R_{5N} \neq R_{N5} \), and the energy tensor \( T_{5N} \neq T_{N5} \).

9. A Non-Symmetry Ricci Tensor for the Fifth-Dimensional Components?

What are we to make of the fact that \( R_{5N} \neq R_{N5} \) and \( T_{5N} \neq T_{N5} \) in section 8 above? It is helpful to directly examine the definition of the Riemann tensor (6.1):

\[ R_{BM} = R^A_{BMA} = -\Gamma^A_{BM,A} + \Gamma^A_{BA,M} + \Gamma^\Sigma_{BA} \Gamma^{A \Sigma M} - \Gamma^\Sigma_{BM} \Gamma^A_{A \Sigma}, \]  

(9.1)

and the reverse-indexed:

\[ R_{MB} = R^A_{MBA} = -\Gamma^A_{MB,A} + \Gamma^A_{MA,B} + \Gamma^\Sigma_{MA} \Gamma^{A \Sigma B} - \Gamma^\Sigma_{MB} \Gamma^A_{A \Sigma}, \]  

(9.2)

The first and fourth terms are clearly identical, because \( \Gamma^\Sigma_{BS} = \Gamma^\Sigma_{SB} \). The third terms are also identical if one renames indexes. However, the second terms are not necessarily the same, \( \Gamma^A_{BA,M} \neq \Gamma^A_{MA,B} \), and specifically:

\[ \Gamma^A_{BA,M} = \frac{1}{2} g^{A \Delta} \left( g_{\Delta B,A} + g_{\Delta A,B} - g_{BA,\Delta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} g^{A \Delta} \left( g_{\Delta B,A,M} + g_{\Delta A,B,M} - g_{BA,\Delta,M} \right), \]  

(9.3)

and

\[ \Gamma^A_{MA,B} = \frac{1}{2} g^{A \Delta} \left( g_{\Delta M,A} + g_{\Delta A,M} - g_{MA,\Delta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} g^{A \Delta} \left( g_{\Delta M,A,B} + g_{\Delta A,M,B} - g_{MA,\Delta,B} \right), \]  

(9.4)
which, as a general rule, are not by identity, the same. If they are the same, it has to be because of particular constraints on the $g_{MN}$. But as a general rule, it is possible to entertain field equations, i.e., Ricci tensors and energy tensors which are not transposition symmetric, even when the $\Gamma^\Sigma_{AB} = \Gamma^\Sigma_{BA}$ and $g_{AB} = g_{BA}$.

This possibility has long been known, and is the precise problem that Einstein pointed out in [15], see his contrast of equations (4a) and (4b). It has also been noted that “starting with a general (though still symmetric) connection allowed Eddington – and Einstein following him in 1923 – to obtain a non-symmetric Ricci tensor, the antisymmetric part of which could then be taken as a representation of the (antisymmetric) electromagnetic field tensor.” [16] Given the foregoing, as well as the fact that although non-symmetric in five dimensions, the four-dimensional energy tensor and Ricci tensor (8.11) and (8.10) retain their transposition symmetry, we shall accept the non-symmetric $R_{SN} \neq R_{NS}$ and $T_{SN} \neq T_{NS}$ as is, and not attempt to make these symmetric in the N5 indexes. That is, we shall take $R_{SN} \neq R_{NS}$ and $T_{SN} \neq T_{NS}$ uncovered in the previous section as an indication that in nature, wherein Maxwell’s electric charge source equation is effectively represented along those fifth-dimensional components, (see sections 6 and 7) the fifth-dimensional components of $R_{MN}$ and $T_{MN}$ are non-symmetric.

Therefore, we return to (8.9), which we redefine in the opposite manner as before, reversing $M$ and $N$, as follows:

$$R_{MN} \equiv -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_{N} J_{M}$$

(9.5)

We do this so as to be consistent with the results in section 6. Thus, from (9.5) we find, just as in (6.6) and (6.7), respectively, that:

$$R_{\beta 5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_{5} J_{\beta} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J_{\beta}$$

(9.6)

$$R_{5 5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_{5} J_{5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J_{5}.$$  

(9.7)

However:

$$R_{5 5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \delta^5_{5} J_{5} = 0,$$

(9.8)
which demonstrates explicitly the non-symmetric character of \( R_{SN} \neq R_{NS} \). The entire fifth column \( R_{B5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa ( J_\beta, J_5 ) = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa ( J_\beta, \frac{1}{4} b \kappa F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau} ) \) of the covariant Ricci tensor contains the Maxwell source charge current density \( J_\beta \) transforming as part of a five-vector with a \( F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau} \) term, while the fifth “row” \( R_{5\beta} \), except for \( R_{55} \), is zero. Combined with \( R_{\mu \nu} = 0 \) from (8.10), we may summarize that \( R_{M5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J_M \), \( R_{M\nu} = 0 \). In effect, the covariant (lower index) Ricci tensor contains a single column five-vector \( R_{M5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J_M \), and all other components \( R_{M\nu} = 0 \) zero.

As we shall now see, allowing the Ricci and energy tensors to stay non-symmetric in this way, will validate itself in the next section by leading to the Maxwell stress-energy tensor, which we take to be a point of contact between theory and settled empirical observation.

10. Derivation of the Maxwell Stress-Energy Tensor, using a Four-Dimensional Variation

In section 8, we derived the energy tensor based on the variational calculation (8.4), in five dimensions, i.e., by the variation \( \delta g^{MN} \). Let us repeat this same calculation, but in a slightly different way.

In section 8, we used (8.3) in the form of \( \delta g^{MN} \), because that gave us a contravariant \( g^{MN} \) against which to obtain the five-dimensional variation \( \delta E_{\text{Matter}} / \delta g^{MN} \). Let us instead, here, use the very last term in (8.3) as \( \delta E_{\text{Matter}} \), writing this as:

\[
\delta E_{\text{Matter}} \equiv \frac{1}{2x} R_5 = -\frac{1}{8x} b \kappa \left( g^{5\beta} J_\beta + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau} \right) = -\frac{1}{8x} b \kappa \left( g^{\mu \nu} \delta^5 v J_\sigma + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} g^{\mu \nu} b \kappa F_{\mu \nu} F \right),\quad (10.1)
\]

It is important to observe that the term \( g^{5\beta} J_\beta \) is only summed over four spacetime indexes. The fifth term, \( g^{55} J_5 = \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau} \), see, e.g., (6.8). For consistency with the non-symmetric (9.5), we employ \( g^{5\beta} J_\beta = g^{\mu \nu} \delta^5 v J_\mu \) rather than \( g^{5\beta} J_\beta = g^{\mu \nu} \delta^5 \mu J_\nu \). By virtue of this separation, in which we can only introduce \( g^{\mu \nu} \) and not \( g^{MN} \) as in section 8, we can only take a four-dimensional variation \( \delta E_{\text{Matter}} / \delta g^{\mu \nu} \), which, in contrast to (8.4), is now given by:

\[
T_{\mu \nu} \equiv -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{\mu \nu}} \left( \sqrt{-g} E_{\text{Matter}} \right) = -2 \frac{\delta E_{\text{Matter}}}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}} + g_{\mu \nu} E_{\text{Matter}},\quad (10.2)
\]
Substituting from (10.1) then yields:

\[ T_{\mu \nu} = \frac{1}{4\pi} b \kappa \left( \delta^\nu_{\gamma} J_\mu + \frac{1}{4} g^{5\sigma} b \kappa F^\mu_{\rho} F_{\nu\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \frac{1}{4\pi} b \kappa \left( g^{5\beta} J_\rho + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right). \] (10.3)

Now, the non-symmetry of sections 8 and 9 comes into play, and this will yield the Maxwell tensor. Because \( \delta^5_{\nu} = 0 \), the first term drops out and the above reduces to:

\[ \kappa T_{\mu \nu} = \frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^\mu_{\rho} F_{\nu\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( g^{5\beta} J_\rho + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right). \] (10.4)

Note that this covariant (lower index) four-dimensional tensor is symmetric, and that we would arrive at an energy tensor which is identical if (10.3) contained a \( \delta^5_{\mu} J_\nu \) rather than \( \delta^5_{\nu} J_\mu \). Once again, the screen factor \( \delta^5_{\nu} = 0 \) is at work.

In mixed form, starting from (10.3), there are two energy tensors to be found. If we raise the \( \mu \) index in (10.3), the first term becomes \( \delta^5_{\nu} J^\mu = 0 \) and we obtain:

\[ -\kappa T^\nu_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\mu\sigma} F_{\nu\sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \delta^\nu_{\sigma} \frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( g^{5\beta} J_\beta + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right). \] (10.5)

with this first term still screened out. However, if we transpose (10.3) and then raise the \( \mu \) index, the first term becomes \( g^{5\mu} J_\nu \) and this term does not drop out, i.e.,

\[ -\kappa T^\mu_{\nu} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( g^{5\mu} J_\nu + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\mu\sigma} F_{\nu\sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \delta^\mu_{\mu} \frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( g^{5\beta} J_\beta + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right). \] (10.6)

So, there are two mixed tensors to consider, and this time, unlike in section 8, these each yield different four-dimensional energy tensors. Contrasting (10.5) and (10.6), we see that \( \delta^5_{\nu} = 0 \) has effectively “broken” a symmetry that is apparent in (10.6), but “hidden” in (10.5). At this time, we focus on (10.5), because, as we shall now see, this is the Maxwell stress-energy tensor

\[ T^\nu_{\mu} = \left( F^{\mu\rho} F_{\nu\rho} - \frac{1}{4} \delta^\nu_{\nu} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right), \]

before reduction into this more-recognizable form.

Purposely leaving constant factors separated, the trace equation of (10.5) is then:

\[ \kappa T = R = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( 2 g^{5\beta} J_\beta + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right). \] (10.7)

and so, via the inverse equation \( R^\mu_{\nu} = -\kappa T^\mu_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \delta^\mu_{\nu} \kappa T \), from (10.5) and (10.7):

\[ R^\mu_{\nu} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\mu\sigma} F_{\nu\sigma} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \delta^\mu_{\nu} \frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( -3g^{5\beta} J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right). \] (10.8)
Note that here, traceable to the screened term, lost via $\delta^5_v = 0$, that one cannot simply glean $R^\mu_v$ from (10.5) as we were able to for (8.9). It was necessary to use the full inverse field equation $R^\mu_v = -\kappa T^\mu_v + \delta^\mu_v, \kappa T$. Now, we take the trace of (10.8) to obtain:

$$\kappa T = R = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa \left( 2 g^{5\beta} J_{\beta} + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b\kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right).$$

(10.9)

Interestingly, this does not look to be the same as the trace in (10.7), yet these are the same. This means that a further relationship must subsist, and if we look closely, (10.9) is the same as (10.7), multiplied by a factor of $3$. If $x = 3x$, then $x = 0$, so this is an indication that the trace $\kappa T = R = 0$, which is characteristic of Maxwell’s tensor.

So, setting (10.7) equal to (10.9), we obtain:

$$\kappa T = R = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa g^{5\beta} J_{\beta} = -\frac{1}{4} b\kappa \left( g^{5\beta} b\kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right) = -6\frac{1}{4} b\kappa g^{5\beta} J_{\beta} = 3\frac{1}{4} b\kappa \left( g^{5\beta} b\kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right),$$

and we find after reducing, that:

$$g^{5\beta} J_{\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b\kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right).$$

(10.10)

(10.11)

Now, we return to the energy tensor (10.5) and shift some terms to rewrite this as:

$$4\kappa T^\mu_v = b\kappa \left( g^{55} b\kappa F^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\tau} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \delta^\mu_v b\kappa \left( g^{55} b\kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \delta^\mu_v b\kappa g^{5\beta} J_{\beta}.$$  

(10.12)

Then, we substitute $g^{5\beta} J_{\beta}$ from (10.11) into (10.12), and do some further rearranging, including making use of $\kappa^2 = 2\kappa/\hbar c$, to obtain:

$$\frac{16\kappa}{b^2 \kappa} T^\mu_v = \frac{8}{b^2 \hbar c} h c T^\mu_v = g^{55} \left( F^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\tau} - \frac{1}{4} \delta^\mu_v F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right).$$

(10.13)

If we now set $\hbar = c = 1$ as well as:

$$b^2 = 8 \text{ and } g^{55} = -1,$$

(10.14)

then (10.13) now reduces, rather fortuitously, to the Maxwell stress-energy tensor:

$$T^\mu_v^{\text{Maxwell}} = -(F^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\tau} - \frac{1}{4} \delta^\mu_v F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau}),$$

(10.15)

in the Heaviside-Lorentz units that we have been employing from the outset. The factor $b$ which we have employed all along is now determined to be $b^2 = 8$. (Though we now know
We will often retain $b$ in our equations for simpler appearance and manipulation, only substituting $b^2 = 8$ when $b$ becomes squared.) Further, because we have deduced that $g^{55} = -1$ we no longer need to straddle between a timelike and a spacelike fifth dimension: we have deduced that the fifth dimension must be spacelike. Also, despite the five-dimensional non-symmetry that we started with, the net result is still a symmetric tensor in four-dimensions. The stress-energy tensor is an important result, because this tensor is underpinned by extensive empirical evidence.

We can then also derive the mixed Ricci tensor corresponding to the stress-energy (10.15). We start with (10.8), substitute (10.11), and reduce, to obtain:

$$16R^\mu_\nu = -b^2 \kappa^2 \left(g^{55} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} b^2 \kappa^2 \left( g^{55} F^{\alpha\tau} F_{\alpha\tau} \right) \right).$$

(10.16)

Clearly, this is also traceless, $R = 0$, as it should be. Further use of $\kappa^2 = 2\kappa / hc$ with $h = c = 1$, and $b^2 = 8$ and $g^{55} = -1$ from (10.14), then reduces to:

$$R^\mu_\nu = \kappa \left( F^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} F^{\alpha\tau} F_{\alpha\tau} \right),$$

(10.17)

which is summarized by the traceless field equation $-\kappa T^\mu_\nu = R^\mu_\nu$, as expected.

Finally, in being able to derive the traceless equation (10.15) which among many things tells us that electromagnetic energy traceless $T_{\text{Maxwell}} = 0$ propagates at the speed of light, we have solved the essential riddle which concerned Einstein in [17], see equations (1) versus (1a) and (3) therein, which was to find a compatibility $-\kappa T^\mu_\nu = R^\mu_\nu - \frac{1}{2} \delta^\mu_\nu R$ which contains a non-zero scalar trace, and (10.15) and (10.17) above which are scalar-free. More fundamentally, since (10.15) was derived by rigorously applying the field equation $-\kappa T^\mu_\nu = R^\mu_\nu - \frac{1}{2} \delta^\mu_\nu R$, we have demonstrated that Einstein’s equation, which one ordinarily applies to trace matter which can be placed at rest, is also fully compatible with, and is indeed the foundation for, the energy tensor of traceless, luminous electromagnetic radiation.

11. The Energy Tensor of Trace Matter

One of the benefits of a non-symmetric Ricci curvature tensor $R_{M5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J_M$, $R_{M\nu} = 0$ is that there are not one, but two energy tensors inherent in (10.3). We have seen that one of
these tensors is the Maxwell tensor (10.15) of traceless, luminous radiation, which originates in (10.5). Now, let’s examine the other energy tensor (10.6), which is the mixed tensor that emerges after one transposes the \( \mu, \nu \) indexes in (10.3) before raising the \( \mu \) index, particularly for the \( \delta^\nu_{\nu} J_\mu \) term.

From (10.6), the trace equation is:

\[
\kappa T = R = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( g^{5\beta} J_\beta + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\alpha\tau} F_{\alpha\tau} \right). \tag{11.1}
\]

Contrasting, we see that (10.7) has a factor of 2 in front of \( g^{5\beta} J_\beta \) which does not appear in (11.1). This is due to the screen term \( \delta^5_{\nu} J_\mu \). Next, we apply the inverse field equation

\[
R_\nu^\mu = -\kappa T_\nu^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \delta_\nu^\mu \kappa T, \tag{11.2}
\]

using (10.6) and (11.1), to yield:

\[
R_\nu^\mu = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( g^{5\mu} J_\nu + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\mu\tau} F_{\nu\tau} \right). \tag{11.2}
\]

Here, it is clear that we could have gleaned \( R_\nu^\mu \) from (10.6), and this is because the symmetry was not broken by the \( \delta^5_{\nu} = 0 \) screen factor as it was for the Maxwell tensor (10.15). This means we expect there to be non-zero trace matter in the \( T_\nu^\mu \) of (10.6). Taking the trace directly from (11.2) yields:

\[
\kappa T = R = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( g^{5\beta} J_\beta + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\alpha\tau} F_{\alpha\tau} \right), \tag{11.3}
\]

which is now identical to (11.1).

Now, we simply turn back to (10.11), \( g^{5\beta} J_\beta = -\frac{1}{8} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\alpha\tau} F_{\alpha\tau} \), and employ this in (10.6) in two alternative ways: so as to eliminate \( g^{5\beta} J_\beta \), and so as to eliminate \( F^{\alpha\tau} F_{\alpha\tau} \). The first result, combining (10.6) and (10.11), is:

\[
-\kappa T_\nu^\mu = -\frac{1}{16} b^2 \kappa^2 \left( -\frac{1}{8} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\alpha\tau} F_{\alpha\tau} \right) - \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa g^{5\mu} J_\nu. \tag{11.4}
\]

and the second, equivalent result is:

\[
-\kappa T_\nu^\mu = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left( g^{5\mu} J_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \delta_\nu^\mu g^{5\beta} J_\beta \right) - \frac{1}{16} b^2 \kappa^2 g^{55} F^{\mu\tau} F_{\nu\tau}. \tag{11.5}
\]

Applying further reduction using \( \kappa^2 = 2\kappa/\hbar c \) with \( \hbar = c = 1 \), and \( b^2 = 8 \) and \( g^{55} = -1 \), we find:
\[ \kappa T^\nu_\mu = -\kappa \left( F^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\tau} - \frac{1}{4} \delta^{\mu}_\nu F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \kappa g^{5\mu} J_\nu = \kappa T^\mu_\nu \text{Maxwell} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \kappa g^{5\mu} J_\nu , \text{ and} \]

\[ \kappa T^\nu_\mu = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \kappa \left( g^{5\mu} J_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \delta^\nu_\mu g^{5\beta} J_\beta \right) - \kappa F^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\tau} . \]

which, again, are alternative, \textit{equivalent} expressions for the same energy tensor. The traces may be deduced from either of the above, or from (11.1) / (11.3), and expressed in various ways via (10.11), \[ g^{5\beta} J_\mu = -\frac{1}{8} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} . \] The simplest expressions, however, are solely in terms of either \( g^{5\beta} J_\mu \) or \( F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \), and these are:

\[ \kappa T = R = \frac{1}{2} \kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \kappa g^{5\beta} J_\beta \]

(11.8)

The energy tensor (11.6) and (11.7), \( T^\mu_\nu \equiv T^\mu_\nu \text{TraceMatter} \) thereby appears to be the energy tensor for non-luminous trace matter, expressed in terms of the electrodynamic entities \( F^{\mu\nu} \) and \( J_\nu \), and it is related to Maxwell’s tensor by \( \kappa T^\mu_\nu \text{TraceMatter} = \kappa T^\mu_\nu \text{Maxwell} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \kappa g^{5\mu} J_\nu \). This all arises, once again, out of the fifth-dimensional non-symmetry of \( R_{MN} \), summarized by \( R_{M5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J_5 , \ R_{M\nu} = 0 \), or, slightly more expanded, by \( R_{M5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa J_5 , \ R_{\mu\nu} = 0 , \ R_{5\nu} = 0 \), and it works its way onto the usual four dimensions of spacetime via the term \( \delta^5_\nu J_\mu \) in (10.3), which is symmetric as written, but yields two different mixed tensors depending on whether one raises the \( \mu \) index from \( \delta^5_\nu J_\mu \) into \( \delta^5_\nu J^\mu = 0 \), or from \( \delta^5_\mu J_\nu \) into \( g^{5\mu} J_\nu \neq 0 \). In this subtle but important non-symmetry, luminous massless electromagnetic radiation traveling at the speed of light, is separated from the trace matter which has a mass and can be placed at rest.

12. Relation between the Electrodynamic Vector and Gravitational Tensor Potentials

We now formally introduce the four-vector potential \( A^\mu \equiv (\phi, A_1, A_2, A_3) \), related to the field strength tensor according to \( F^{\mu\nu} = A^{\mu\nu} - A^{\nu\mu} = A^{\mu\nu} - A^{\nu\mu} \), where, as is well-known, the covariant derivatives become ordinary derivatives in the particular combination used to form \( F^{\mu\nu} \). Introducing \( A^\mu \) is desirable and indeed required, for as Witten points out, ([18] at pg. 28) and as is very-well known, the vector potential \( A^\mu \) is essential to the quantum mechanical treatment of electromagnetism. So far, we have restricted ourselves strictly to classical
electrodynamics and classical gravitation, based on five-dimensional Riemannian geometry.
With the introduction of the vector potential \( A^\mu \), we will also venture a tentative, introductory foray into the quantum world, viewed through the action-based path integral which makes use of Gaussian integrals to find invariant amplitudes, rather than through the canonical approach.

Once again, we start with (5.1), written out using \( g_{\Sigma T,5} = 0 \) from (5.6), as:

\[
\frac{1}{4} b \overline{\kappa} F_{\Sigma T} = \Gamma_{M T 5} = \frac{1}{2} g^{M A} (g_{A T,5} + g_{5 A, T} - g_{T 5, A}) = \frac{1}{2} g^{M A} (g_{5 A, T} - g_{5 T, A}). \quad (12.1)
\]

It is helpful to lower the indexes in field strength tensor and connect this to the covariant vector potentials \( A^\mu \), generalized into 5-dimensions as \( A_M \) via \( F_{\Sigma T} \equiv A_{\Sigma T} - A_{T \Sigma} = A_{\Sigma T} - A_{T \Sigma} \), as such:

\[
\frac{1}{4} b \overline{\kappa} (A_{\Sigma T} - A_{T \Sigma}) = \frac{1}{4} b \overline{\kappa} g_{5 M} F^M_{\Sigma T} = \frac{1}{2} g^{M A} (g_{5 A, T} - g_{5 T, A}) = \frac{1}{2} (g_{5 \Sigma, T} - g_{5 T, \Sigma}). \quad (12.2)
\]

The relationship \( \frac{1}{4} b \overline{\kappa} F_{\Sigma T} = \frac{1}{4} b \overline{\kappa} (A_{\Sigma T} - A_{T \Sigma}) = \frac{1}{2} (g_{5 \Sigma, T} - g_{5 T, \Sigma}) \) expresses clearly, the antisymmetry of \( F_{\Sigma T} \) in terms of the non-zero connection terms \( \frac{1}{4} (g_{5 \Sigma, T} - g_{5 T, \Sigma}) \) involving the gravitational potential. Other than the constant factors included above, (12.2) is identical to the equation between (6) and (7) in Klein’s [2]. Of particular interest, is that we may extract from (12.2), the relation:

\[
\frac{1}{4} b \overline{\kappa} A_{\Sigma T} = \frac{1}{2} g_{5 \Sigma, T} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{\kappa} h_{5 \Sigma, T}, \quad (12.3)
\]

using also \( g_{M N} = \eta_{M N} + \overline{\kappa} h_{M N} \) for the gravitational potential energy \( h_{M N} \). If one forms \( A_{\Sigma T} - A_{T \Sigma} \) from (12.3) and then renames indexes and uses \( g_{M N} = g_{N M} \), one arrives back at (12.2). So (12.3) is just the form of equation (12.2) most directly relating the gravitational potentials to the electrodynamic potentials.

Importantly, we have not removed the covariant derivative from \( A_{\Sigma T} \) in (12.3), via \( F_{\Sigma T} \equiv A_{\Sigma T} - A_{T \Sigma} = A_{\Sigma T} - A_{T \Sigma} \). The reason is that in (12.3), \( A_{\Sigma T} \) is considered separated from \( -A_{T \Sigma} \), and the covariant derivatives do not become ordinary unless and until one forms the combination \( F_{\Sigma T} \equiv A_{\Sigma T} - A_{T \Sigma} = A_{\Sigma T} - A_{T \Sigma} \). When the terms are separated as in (12.3), the covariant derivatives must be left intact. This means, for example, that Klein’s equation for the potential in (8) of [2], which in the notations employed here would be \( g_{5 \mu} = g_{5 5} B A_\mu \), with \( B \)
being the constant from Klein, must be regarded as only an approximate relationship, in the linear approximation of gravitational theory in which the covariant derivative is approximately equal to the ordinary derivative, i.e., \( A_{\xi,T} \approx A_{\xi,T} \).

Equation (12.3) makes perfect sense classically: after all, the oft-employed \( \Gamma^M_{\xi \xi} = \frac{1}{4} b \bar{k} k^M_{\xi \xi} \) of (5.1) is simply a first order differential equation between the vector potential \( A^\mu \) and the gravitational field \( h^{\mu\nu} \), and each is a dynamical field. Equation (12.3) above merely states that differential equation explicitly. But quantum mechanically, (12.3) raises questions, because we are talking about a relationship between a vector potential comprising spin-1 photons, and a tensor potential comprising spin-2 gravitons. So, we do need to come to better terms with what (12.3) implies quantum mechanically.

Equation (12.3) is a first order differential equations which tells us up to a constant factor, that the covariant derivative of the electrodynamic potential \( A_{\xi} \) is equal to the ordinary derivative of the gravitational potential \( h_{\xi \xi} \). In the weak field limit / linear approximation, where covariant derivatives become approximately equal to ordinary derivatives, we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} g_{\xi \xi,T} = \frac{1}{4} b \bar{k} A_{\xi,T} \approx \frac{1}{4} b \bar{k} A_{\xi,T},
\]

and so, integrating based on this linear approximation, we obtain:

\[
g_{\xi \xi} = \frac{1}{2} b \bar{k} A_{\xi}.
\] (12.4)

Keep in mind, (12.3) is exact and non-linear; (12.4) only applies to the weak-field, linear approximation \( A_{\xi,T} \approx A_{\xi,T} \). It is (12.4) which, if turned into an equality rather than an approximation, is equivalent with Klein’s (8) of [2], with Klein’s \( \alpha \beta = g^{s5}J_{\beta} = \frac{1}{2} b \bar{k} \).

Now, the reader will recall that the term \( g^{55}J_{5} \) and \( J^{5} = g^{55}J_{5} \) has shown up repeatedly throughout many of the prior equations, going all the way back to (7.7), and most recently, in the energy tensor (10.3) which later turned into the Maxwell stress-energy tensor, via the “keystone” relationship (10.11) which enabled us to uncover the Maxwell tensor. This term is also an integral part of the trace matter tensors (11.6), (11.7). But in equation (12.4), \( g_{55} \) is in lower-index (covariant) form. It is therefore necessary to obtain a suitable contravariant expression for \( g^{55} \). This is not a trivial exercise, particularly raising the “5” index, and it needs to be done
carefully. This is so we can then obtain a suitable contravariant expression for $g^{5\beta}$ which is akin to (12.4), so that this can be employed in the various equations where $g^{5\beta}$ or $g^{5\gamma}$ appear.

To properly raise (12.4), we first raise the free index in (12.4) to write $\delta^\Sigma_5 = \frac{1}{2} b k A^\Sigma$.

Next, we write $g^{MN} = g^{ME} g^{NT} g_{ST}$, take the $M = 5$ component, and use $\delta^{N}_5 = \frac{1}{2} b \bar{k} A^N$ to obtain:

$$g^{5N} = g^{5\Sigma} g^{NT} g_{ST} = g^{5\sigma} g^{NT} g_{\sigma T} + g^{55} g^{NT} g_{ST} = g^{5\sigma} \delta^{N}_\sigma + g^{55} \delta^{N}_5 \approx g^{5\sigma} \delta^{N}_\sigma + g^{55} \frac{1}{2} b \bar{k} A^N. \quad (12.5)$$

We now separate this out into:

$$g^{5v} \approx g^{5\sigma} \delta^{\sigma}_v + g^{55} \frac{1}{2} b \bar{k} A^v = g^{5v} + g^{55} \frac{1}{2} b \bar{k} A^v, \quad (12.6)$$

$$g^{55} \approx g^{5\sigma} \delta^{\sigma}_5 + g^{55} \frac{1}{2} b \bar{k} A^5 = g^{55} \frac{1}{2} b \bar{k} A^5. \quad (12.7)$$

The latter (12.7) reduces to $1 \approx \frac{1}{2} b \bar{k} A^5$. Since $\bar{k} = \sqrt{16\pi G / \hbar c^5}$ and the Planck energy $E_p = \sqrt{\hbar c^5 / G}$, and also using $b^2 = 8$ from (10.14), we may restate (12.7) as:

$$A^5 \approx \frac{1}{8} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} E_p. \quad (12.8)$$

Apparently, the fifth component of $A^N$ has a huge energy, on the scale of the Planck vacuum.

It is a little trickier to reduce (12.6), because $g^{5v} \approx g^{5v} + g^{55} \frac{1}{2} b \bar{k} A^v$ reduces to $g^{55} \frac{1}{2} b \bar{k} A^v \approx 0$, which is another way of saying that $A^v \ll E_p$, i.e., that in the linear approximation, the spacetime part of the electromagnetic vector potential, $A^v$, has an energy much less than the Planck energy. That is obvious, by definition. Let’s instead make additional use of $g^{MN} = \eta^{MN} + \bar{k} h^{MN}$, and especially, $g^{5v} = \eta^{5v} + \bar{k} h^{5v} = \bar{k} h^{5v}$, and also $g^{55} = -1$ from (10.14) to rewrite (12.6) as:

$$g^{5v} \approx \bar{k} \left( h^{5v} - \frac{1}{2} b A^v \right). \quad (12.9)$$

This yields a workable expression, and we find that the fifth component $h^{5v}$ of the gravitational potential is added to $A^v$ in this linear approximation. Now, let’s match apples to apples, or, in this case, particles to particles.
To do this, we make further use of \( h^{\mu \nu} = \phi^{\mu \nu} - \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu \nu} \phi \) which in the linear approximation has the gravitational field equation 
\[- \kappa T^{\mu \nu} = \partial_\sigma \partial_\tau \phi^{\mu \nu} \] with gauge condition \( \partial_\mu \phi^{\mu \nu} = 0 \).

Quantum-mechanically, \( \phi^{\mu \nu} \) is of course representative of spin-2 gravitons, and we know that \( A^\nu \) is representative of a spin-1 photon. These are what need to be matched together. We generalize to five dimensions and \( \eta^{\mu \nu} \rightarrow g^{MN} \), i.e., \( h^{MN} = \phi^{MN} - \frac{1}{2} g^{MN} \phi \), from which we may deduce the trace \( h \equiv h^{\Sigma \Sigma} = -\frac{3}{2} \phi^{\Sigma \Sigma} \equiv -\frac{3}{2} \phi \) and inverse equation \( \phi^{MN} = h^{MN} - \frac{1}{3} g^{MN} h \). Because \( g^{55} = \eta^{55} + \kappa h^{55} = -1 \) and \( \eta^{55} = 1 \), we deduce that \( h^{55} = 0 \). However,
\[ \phi^{55} = h^{55} - \frac{1}{3} g^{55} h = \frac{1}{3} h = -\frac{1}{2} \phi \]. This is not necessarily equal to zero, because
\[ -\frac{3}{2} \phi = h = g^{\Sigma T} h^{\Sigma T} = g_{\Sigma \sigma} h^{\sigma \tau} + 2 g_{\sigma \tau} h^{\sigma 5} + g_{55} h^{55} \] and only the final term, \( g_{55} h^{55} = 0 \), necessarily.

From the foregoing, \( h^{5\nu} = \phi^{5\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{5\nu} \phi \). Placed into (12.9), this becomes:
\[ g^{5\nu} = \kappa \left( \phi^{5\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{5\nu} \phi - \frac{1}{2} b A^\nu \right) \]...

which can rearranged into:
\[ g^{5\nu} = \frac{\phi^{5\nu} - b A^\nu}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \phi} \]...

This is the contravariant counterpart of \( g_{5\nu} = \frac{1}{2} b \kappa A_\nu \) based on (12.4), and it clearly has a much more complex structure than its covariant cousin in (12.4). Note, in (12.11), \( \kappa \phi = 4 \sqrt{\pi} \phi / E_p \), so the scalar \( \phi \) comes into play when its energy is close to the Planck energy. This suggests that \( g^{5\nu} \) decomposes into a vector potential, interpreted quantum mechanically as a spin-1 photon, together with the \( \phi^{5\nu} \) components of a gravitational wave, interpreted as a spin-2 graviton, and is also re-scaled by the scalar factor \( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \phi \).

Therefore, whenever there appears a term \( g^{5\beta} J_\beta \), such as in (7.7) for \( R^5_5 = -\frac{1}{2} b \kappa J^5_5 \), and elsewhere, (12.11) tells is that in the linear gravitational approximation, where \( A_{\Sigma T} \approx A_{\Sigma T} \) and so the approximation (12.4) applies, \( g_{5\Sigma} \approx \frac{1}{2} b \kappa A_\Sigma \), which is Klein’s equation (8), that:
\[ g^{5\beta} J_\beta = \kappa \left( \phi^{5\beta} - \frac{1}{2} b A^\beta \right) J_\beta \]...
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To write a path integral, we need an action, and to have an action, we need a Lagrangian density to be integrated over the four-space \( S(\mathbf{A}) = \int \mathcal{L}_{QCD} dV \). Thus, we now use the foregoing to obtain the Lagrangian density of Quantum Electrodynamics, \( \mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau} \), on a geometric foundation.

13. The QED Lagrangian Density, and Non-Linear Electrodynamics

To arrive at \( \mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau} \), let’s return to the Maxwell tensor of (10.15), \( T^\mu_\nu = -\left(F^\mu_\nu F_{\nu\tau} - \frac{1}{4} \delta^\mu_\nu F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau}\right) \), for which the Ricci scalar \( R = 0 \). Let us also return to the “keystone” relationship (10.11), which we rewrite as \( g^{5\beta} J_\beta - \frac{1}{8} b \kappa F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau} = 0 \) with \( g^{55} = -1 \).

Because we have written (10.11) so as to be equal to zero, we can multiply through by any constant we choose. We will choose to use (10.7), and substitute (12.12) into this, recognizing that the overall constant can be chosen at will. Thus, in the linear approximation where \( A_{\Sigma:T} \approx A_{\Sigma:T} \): obtain:

\[
0 = \kappa T = R = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left(2 g^{5\beta} J_\beta + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau}\right) = -\frac{1}{4} b \kappa \left(2 \kappa \left(\phi^{5\beta} - \frac{1}{2} b A^\beta\right) + \frac{1}{4} g^{55} b \kappa F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau}\right).
\]

(13.1)

We then rewrite this with \( b^2 = 8 \) and \( g^{55} = -1 \) and \( 2 \kappa = \kappa^2 \) with \( h = c = 1 \). With some term separation, and multiplying through by \(-1/4\kappa\), we obtain:

\[
0 = -\frac{1}{4} T = -\frac{1}{4} R \approx \frac{\phi^{5\beta}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \phi\right) J_\beta - \left(\frac{A^\beta}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \phi}\right) J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau}.
\]

(13.2)

Thus far, our linear approximation rests entirely on \( A_{\Sigma:T} \approx A_{\Sigma:T} \). Let’s then add one final element to the linear approximation: the more customary \( g_{MN} \approx \eta_{MN} \). Then, from \( g_{MN} = \eta^{MN} + \kappa_{h}^{MN} \), we obtain \( h^{MN} \approx 0 \), thus \( h \approx 0 \). Then, \( \phi^{MN} = h^{MN} - \frac{1}{2} g^{MN} h \approx 0 \), and \( \phi \approx 0 \).

Using all of this allows us to further reduce (13.2) to

\[
0 = -\frac{1}{4} T = -\frac{1}{4} R \approx \frac{\phi^{5\beta}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \phi\right) J_\beta - \left(\frac{A^\beta}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \phi}\right) J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau} \approx -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma \tau} F_{\sigma \tau} = S_{QCD}.
\]

(13.3)
In the linear approximation, and in the term \( g^{\alpha \beta} J_\beta - \frac{1}{4^*} b \kappa F^{\alpha \sigma} F_{\sigma} = 0 \), we have found the Lagrangian density of QED.

Now, let’s work in the opposite direction, to find the non-linear expression for \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} \). If we summarize the results of (13.1) through (13.3), what we have found is that in the linear gravitational approximation:

\[
0 = -\frac{1}{4} T = -\frac{1}{4^*} R = \frac{1}{16^*} b \kappa \left( 2 g^{\alpha \beta} J_\beta + \frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha \sigma} b \kappa F^{\alpha \sigma} F_{\sigma} \right) = -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha \sigma} F_{\sigma} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}. \quad (13.4)
\]

Now, a fundamental question arises. Equation (13.4) says that in the linear approximation, \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} \approx 0 \), and that \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha \sigma} F_{\sigma} \). But, might it really be the other way around? Might the real situation be that \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = 0 \), always, for both linear and non-linear theory, and that in the linear approximation, \( 0 = \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} \approx -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha \sigma} F_{\sigma} \)? In other words, might it be that in non-linear gravitational and electrodynamic theory, \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} \) always remains equal to zero, and that in the non-linear theory, the particular combination of fields given by \( -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha \sigma} F_{\sigma} \) grows to be further and further removed from zero, and thus, from \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} \)? After all, in non-linear theory, not only do we have to back out the \( g_{MN} \approx \eta_{MN} \) we used to get to (13.3), but we have to back out the \( A_{\Sigma,T} \approx A_{\Sigma,T} \) approximation which we used to get to (12.4), and therefore, in the non-linear theory, can only use the first order differential equation (12.3):

\[
\frac{1}{4} b \kappa A_{\Sigma,T} = \frac{1}{2} g_{\Sigma,T} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \hbar_{\Sigma,T} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \left( \phi_{\Sigma,T} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\Sigma,\phi} \right)_T. \quad (13.5)
\]

The benefit of (13.4), then, is that it shows where the non-linear electrodynamic theory meets up with the linear theory of our experimental experience.

Let us suppose this is so, and that our experience with \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha \sigma} F_{\sigma} \) is precisely because the electrodynamic situations we deal with experimentally are in fact so weak, when contrasted with the Planck scales where the non-linear aspects of gravitational theory become most stark. In other words, \( -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha \sigma} F_{\sigma} \approx 0 \) in relation to the Planck scale, and so we have come to associate this term with \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = 0 \). It is not \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = 0 \) which changes with strong, non-linear fields: it is the term combination \( -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\alpha \sigma} F_{\sigma} \), and this term
combination grows further and further away from the zero which is $Q_{QCD}$. If this is so, we should rewrite (13.4) as:

$$e_{QCD} = 0 = \frac{1}{8\pi} b \kappa g^{5\beta} J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \approx -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau}, \quad (13.6)$$

with $g^{ss} = -1$, where the final term applies in the linear approximation $-A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \to 0$.

The use of $T$ and $R$ in (13.1) through (13.4), therefore, has no special significance, other than as a reference against which to arrive at the correct constant factors relating the two sides of the $\approx$ in (13.6).

14. Toward a Non-Linear Quantum Field Theory of QED

The linear $e_{QCD} \approx -\frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} - A^\beta J_\beta$ is what is used in the action, and then in the path integral, to arrive via Gaussian integration and the invariant amplitude for QED. Specifically, one takes $e_{QCD} \approx -\frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} - A^\beta J_\beta$, turns it into (now we can use ordinary derivatives because of the antisymmetric field combination) $e_{QCD} \approx -\frac{1}{2} \partial^\sigma A^\alpha \{ \partial_\tau A_\sigma - \partial_\sigma A_\tau \} - A^\beta J_\beta$, puts this into an action $S(A) = \int e_{QCD} d^4x$, and performs integration by parts to convert this over to

$$S(A) = \int d^4x \left\{ A_\mu \left[ \partial^\sigma \partial_\tau g^{\mu\nu} - \partial^\mu \partial^\nu \right] A_\rho + A_\nu J^\nu \right\}. \quad \text{Then, placed into the exponent of a Gaussian integral of the “schematic” form}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^4x e^{iA|A + i\lambda A|} = \left( \frac{2\pi}{\text{det}(\partial^2)} \right)^{\frac{5}{2}} e^{-\frac{i}{2} \lambda \{ \partial^\nu \partial_\nu \}} , \quad \text{we lay the foundation for computing the invariant amplitude via the path integral} \quad Z = \int D\phi e^{iS(A)} \equiv e^{iW(A)}.$$

But with the non-linear (13.6) in hand, we should be able to do a similar thing based on the gravitational field $g_{MN}$ (really $\phi_{MN}$) rather than the electromagnetic field potential $A_M$, and based on the source energy tensor $T_{MN}$ rather than the source vector current $J^M$. We shall not attempt the full calculation of this, but will develop the first step.

Starting with (13.6), let us first write $F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau}$ in terms only of the gravitational potentials $g_{MN}$. We use $\Gamma^M_{5\xi} \equiv \frac{1}{2} b \kappa F^M_{\xi}$ from (5.1) together with the Christoffels to write:

$$-\kappa F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} = \Gamma^\alpha_{5\tau} \Gamma^\tau_{5\sigma} \left[ g^{\alpha\beta} (g^{5\alpha,\tau} - g^{5\tau,\alpha}) (g^{5\beta,\sigma} - g^{5\sigma,\beta}) \right] = \frac{1}{4} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta g^{5\alpha} \left[ \partial_\alpha g^{5\beta} - \partial_\beta g^{5\sigma} \right] , \quad (14.1)$$
using also $b^2 = 8$ and $2\kappa = \bar{\kappa}^2$ with $h = c = 1$. Contrast the linear term $\partial^\sigma A^\alpha (\partial_\sigma A_\alpha - \partial_\alpha A_\sigma)$ with the non-linear term $g^{\alpha\sigma} \partial^\tau g_{5\alpha} \left[ \partial_\tau g_{5\sigma} - \partial_\sigma g_{5\tau} \right]$ which can be formed from (14.1). The goal, after insertion into an action and exponentiation, and following integration by parts, is to form this into the schematic term $g (\partial^\tau) g_{5\alpha}$ for the Gaussian integral underlying the path integral.

Then, from (13.6), let’s focus on the term $g^{5\beta} J_\beta$. There, we want to convert $J_\beta$ over into the pertinent components for the energy tensor. From (9.6), we have $R_{\beta5} = -\frac{1}{4} b \bar{\kappa} J_\beta$, and from (7.7) and (8.12) we have $R_{(5)} = R^{5}_5 = -\frac{1}{4} b \bar{\kappa} g^{5\beta} J_\beta$. So, from Einstein’s equation generalized to five dimensions, we may write:

$$-\kappa T_{\beta5} = R_{\beta5} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\beta5} R_{(5)} = -\frac{1}{4} b \bar{\kappa} J_\beta + \frac{1}{8} g_{\beta5} b \bar{\kappa} J^5.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14.2)

Then, we multiply everything in the above through by $-g^{5\beta}$, to obtain:

$$\kappa g^{5\beta} T_{\beta5} = \frac{1}{4} b \bar{\kappa} g^{5\beta} J_\beta - \frac{1}{8} g^{5\beta} g_{\beta5} b \bar{\kappa} J^5 = \frac{1}{4} b \bar{\kappa} g^{5\beta} J_\beta,$$  \hspace{1cm} (14.3)

where the term $\frac{1}{8} g^{5\beta} g_{\beta5} b \bar{\kappa} J^5$ drops out entirely by virtue of the null relationship (5.9),

$g^{\tau\sigma} g_{\tau\sigma} = 0$.

Now, we return to (13.6), and substituting from (14.1) and (14.3), we may finally write:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = 0 = \frac{1}{8} b \bar{\kappa} g^{5\beta} J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} = \frac{1}{4} g^{5\beta} T_{\beta5} + \frac{1}{8} g^{\alpha\sigma} \partial^\beta g_{5\alpha} \left[ \partial_\sigma g_{5\beta} - \partial_\beta g_{5\sigma} \right] = -A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (14.3)

This expresses the exact $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}$ completely in terms of $g_{MN}$ and $T_{MN}$, and, of special interest, it appears possible that this can be used in an action, placed into a Gaussian integral, integrated by parts, and in contrast to $\frac{1}{2} A (\partial^2) A + JA$, formed instead into the schematic $\frac{1}{2} g (\partial^2) g + Tg$, leading to a path integral for an invariant amplitude which, in contrast to $W(J) = -\frac{1}{2} J (\partial^2)^{-1} J$, would then take on the form $W(T) = -\frac{1}{2} T (\partial^2)^{-1} T$. To summarize the key point of (14.3): the exact QED Lagrangian density is:

$$\kappa \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = 0 = \frac{1}{2} g^{5\beta} \kappa T_{\beta5} + \frac{1}{8} g^{\alpha\sigma} \partial^\beta g_{5\alpha} \left[ \partial_\sigma g_{5\beta} - \partial_\beta g_{5\sigma} \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (14.4)

and in the linear approximation, this approaches $-A^\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau}$. 
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15. A Possible Kaluza-Klein Experiment

At this juncture, we have enough information to propose an experiment to validate or falsify some of the results derived thus far. We turn for this purpose to the stress energy tensor of matter (11.6), which we raise into contravariant notation as follows:

\[ \kappa T^{\nu\mu} = -\kappa \left( F^{\mu\tau} F^{\nu}_\tau - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} g^{5\mu} J^\nu = \kappa T^{\nu\mu}_{\text{Maxwell}} + \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} g^{5\mu} J^\nu. \]  

(15.1)

The Maxwell tensor \( T^{\nu\mu}_{\text{Maxwell}} = T^{\nu\mu}_{\text{Maxwell}} \) is, of course, a symmetric tensor. But the added trace matter term \( g^{5\mu} J^\nu \) is not necessarily symmetric, that is, there is no \textit{a priori} reason why \( g^{5\mu} J^\nu \) must be equal to \( g^{5\nu} J^\mu \). The origin of this non-symmetry was discussed earlier in Section 9.

With an eye toward conducting an experiment, let us now consider (15.1) in the linear approximation of (13.6) where \( \phi_{QCD} = -A_\beta J_\beta - \frac{1}{4} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \). In the linear approximation, as used to reach (13.3), (12.11) reduces to

\[ g^{5\mu} = -\kappa \left( \frac{\phi^{5\mu} - \frac{1}{2} bA^\mu}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \phi} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} bA^\mu, \]  

and (15.1) becomes:

\[ T^{\nu\mu} = - \left( F^{\mu\tau} F^{\nu}_\tau - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right) - 2 J^\nu A^\mu = T^{\nu\mu}_{\text{Maxwell}} - 2 J^\nu A^\mu, \]  

(15.2)

where we have also used \( b^2 = 8 \) and \( 2\kappa = \kappa^2 \), and divided out \( \kappa \). The transpose of this non-symmetric energy tensor is:

\[ T^{\mu\nu} = - \left( F^{\mu\tau} F^{\nu}_\tau - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} F^{\sigma\tau} F_{\sigma\tau} \right) - 2 J^\mu A^\nu = T^{\nu\mu}_{\text{Maxwell}} - 2 J^\mu A^\nu, \]  

(15.3)

Now, it is known that a non-symmetric energy tensor, physically, is indicative of a non-zero spin density. In particular, using (15.2) and (15.3), the non-symmetry of the energy tensor is related to a non-zero spin density tensor \( S^{\mu\alpha} \) according to: [19]

\[ S^{\mu\alpha}_{:\alpha} = T^{\mu\nu} - T^{\nu\mu} = -2 J^\mu A^\nu + 2 J^\nu A^\mu. \]  

(15.4)

For such a non-symmetric tensor, the “energy flux” is not identical to the “momentum density, as these differ by (15.4), for \( \mu = 0, \nu = k = 1,2,3 \) and vice versa. If the spin density \( S^{\mu\alpha} = 0 \), then in this special case, (15.4) yields:

\[ J^\mu A^\nu = J^\nu A^\mu. \]  

(15.5)
So, for $S^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}=0$, (15.3) may be written using (15.5) as the explicitly-symmetric tensor:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = -\left(F^{\mu\rho}F_{\rho}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}F_{\sigma\tau}F^{\sigma\tau}\right) - J^\mu A^\nu - J^\nu A^\mu \equiv T^{\mu\nu}_{\text{Maxwell}} - J^\mu A^\nu - J^\nu A^\mu.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15.6)

Now, let’s consider an experiment which is entirely classical. The $T^{0k}$ “Poynting” components of (15.4), (15.6) represent the energy flux across a two-dimensional area, for a flux of matter which we will take to be a stream of electrons, while the $T^{k0}$ components represent the momentum density. The proposed experiment, then, will be to fire a stream of a very large number of electrons thereby constituting an electron “wave,” and to detect the aggregate flux of energy across a two-dimensional surface under various spin preparations, in precisely the same manner that one might test the flow of luminous energy across a surface when using light waves rather than electron waves. Specifically, we propose in test I to fire electrons without doing anything to orient their spins, so that, statistically, the number of electrons flowing through the flux surface with positive helicity is equal to the number with negative helicity and so the spin density is zero, and (15.6) applies. In test II, we fire electrons, but apply a magnetic field before detecting the flux, to ensure that all of the electrons are aligned to positive helicity. In this event, the spin density, by design, is non-zero, and one of (15.2) or (15.3) will apply. In test III, we do the same, but now apply the magnetic field to ensure that all of the electrons have negative helicity, before detecting the flux.

In the linear approximation, we take $g^{\mu\nu} \approx \eta^{\mu\nu}$, and so the Maxwell tensor part of (15.6) is the usual:

$$T^{0k}_{\text{Maxwell}} = -F^{0\tau}F^{k}_{\tau} = E \times B.$$ \hspace{1cm} (15.7)

Therefore, for test I, where $S^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}=0$, (15.6) applies and the Poynting vector is:

$$T^{0k}_{I} = T^{0k}_{\text{Maxwell}} - J^0 A^k - J^k A^0 = E \times B - \rho A - \phi J.$$ \hspace{1cm} (15.8)

where we employ the current density four-vector $J^\mu = (\rho, J_x, J_y, J_z) = (\rho, \mathbf{J})$ and the vector potential $A^\mu \equiv (\phi, A_x, A_y, A_z) = (\phi, \mathbf{A})$. Via (15.5), $\rho A = \phi \mathbf{J}$, for test I. Referring to (15.8), we regard the term $\phi \mathbf{J}$ with the electrostatic current density $\mathbf{J}$ to contribute to the “energy flux” and the term $\rho A$ with the charge density $\rho$ to contribute to the “momentum density,” that is, we
use the four vector current density $J^\mu$, rather than the vector potential $A^\mu$, to establish whether we are speaking of “flux” versus “density.”

In tests II and III, we note from (15.4), $S^{\mu\nu} = -2J^\mu A^\nu + 2J^\nu A^\mu$, that for $S^{\mu\nu} > 0$ (positive spin density), $\partial_a S^{\mu\nu} > 0$, and so $J^\nu A^\mu > J^\mu A^\nu$. For $S^{\mu\nu} < 0$ (negative spin density), $J^\nu A^\mu < J^\mu A^\nu$. Thus, we identify (15.2) with a positive, and (15.3) with a negative helicity electron beam. Thus, in test II, the “energy flux” should be observed to be:

$$T_{00}^{\text{II}} = T_{00}^{\text{Maxwell}} - 2J^0 A^0 = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} - 2\phi \mathbf{J}.$$ (15.9)

and in test III, the “momentum density” should be observed to be:

$$T_{00}^{\text{III}} = T_{00}^{\text{Maxwell}} - 2J^0 A^k = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} - 2\rho \mathbf{A},$$ (15.10)

If we orient the test so that the electrons are fired along the z axis, and detected to flow through the x-y plane, then:

$$T_{01}^{\text{II}} = -F_{0}^{\tau} F_{0}^{\tau} - J^0 A^3 - J^3 A^0 = E_x B_y - E_y B_x - \rho A_z - \phi J_z,$$ (15.11)

$$T_{01}^{\text{II}} = -F_{0}^{\tau} F_{0}^{\tau} - J^0 A^3 - J^3 A^0 = E_x B_y - E_y B_x - 2\phi J_z,$$ and (15.12)

$$T_{01}^{\text{III}} = -F_{0}^{\tau} F_{0}^{\tau} - J^0 A^3 - J^3 A^0 = E_x B_y - E_y B_x - 2\rho A_z.$$ (15.13)

One may, if desired, use $F^{\mu\nu} = A^{\nu,\mu} - A^{\mu,\nu}$ to reformulate the electromagnetic field terms into potential terms, via $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi - \partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t$ and $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$. With firing along the z-axis, this is $E_z = -\partial \phi / \partial z - \partial A_z / \partial t$ and $B_z = \partial A_y / \partial y - \partial A_x / \partial x$.

Validation (or falsification) of energy fluxes specified by (15.11) through (15.13) under the various spin density preparations I, II and III, would then serve as a test of the trace matter tensor (15.1), and the steps which were undertaken to derive this tensor in the first instance.
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